WIKIPEDIA 25
25 years of the free encyclopedia

Wikipedia: Help desk

Add topic

Latest comment: 19 minutes ago by Yr Enw in topic Talk page formatting help

Welcome—ask questions about how to use or edit Wikipedia! *(Am I in the right place?)*

- For other types of questions, use the search box, see the reference desk or Help:Contents. If you have comments about a specific article, use that article's talk page.
- Do **not** provide your email address or any other contact information. Answers will be provided on this page only.
- If your question is about a Wikipedia article, draft article, or other page on Wikipedia, tell us what it is!
- If you are having an issue while editing, which editor are you using?
- Check back on this page to see if your question has been answered.
- For real-time help, use our IRC help channel, #wikipedia-en-help.
- **New editors** may prefer the **Teahouse**, a help area for beginners (but please don't ask in both places).

Ask a question (https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Wikipedia:Help_desk&action=edit&section=new)

Can't edit this page? ; a volunteer will visit you there shortly!

Wikipedia help pages

What do I do…?

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 22 comments | 14 people in discussion

I hope this isn't a weird question to ask, but frankly I have no idea of where else to go. I was emailed, and it asked me about editing histories related to topics about the UN, by the user **UN-on-Wiki**. They claim they are a journalist, and I refuse to do anything related to this before getting some advice. I have tried reaching out to them on their talk page. TheClocksAlwaysTurn (The Clockworks) (contribs)(rights) 21:07, 19 August 2026 (UTC)

> Are you very sure that that user exists? TooManyFingers (*he/him* · talk) 21:16, 19 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > The account was created today. Clicking the link below gives a different message from clicking on This user really doesn't exist (and Popups gives a different hover message too). Certes (talk) 22:00, 19 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> Courtesy ping: UN-on-WIKI.
>
> What sorts of questions? LittlePuppers (talk) 21:17, 19 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > First 3 Paragraphs: "I'm a journalist working on a story about the editing histories of Wikipedia pages belonging to candidates in the current race for United Nations Secretary-General.
> >
> > As part of that reporting, I'm reviewing significant edits and disputes across several candidates' pages. I'm hoping to better understand how editors approach these biographies, particularly when questions arise around sourcing, neutrality, weight

and biographies of living people.

Would you be willing to answer a few questions on how you assessed the material you edited, and your understanding of the discussion among editors? TheClocksAlwaysTurn (The Clockworks) (contribs)(rights) 21:19, 19 August 2026 (UTC)

I would say, if you don't want to email an unknown person, don't email them. TSventon (talk) 21:26, 19 August 2026 (UTC)

That first paragraph had said "I'm a journalist working on a story about ... Wikipedia pages belonging to candidates...". To me, that's a red flag, prompting caution. Wikipedia *does not have* "pages belonging to [anyone]". Wikipedia has "neutral articles about..." not "probably-biased pages belonging to...". Be cautious about engaging this supposed "journalist" further. Feline Hymnic (talk) 22:13, 19 August 2026 (UTC)

For me, the red flag is that the person is completely unknown. I would be less concerned about how much or little they know about Wikipedia. TSventon (talk) 22:23, 19 August 2026 (UTC)

The best rule of thumb is not to reply to emails from any Wikipedia account that cold-emails you. That email seems designed more to harvest your email address than anything (Wikipedia email addresses are normally not visible to the sender; only the fact you have one is.) —*Jéské Couriano* v^_^v Look out it's Jimothy! 01:10, 20 August 2026 (UTC)

While I share the suspicion, I'm not sure that *this* is a red flag. What *precisely* Wikipedia is appears to be widely misunderstood by non-Wikipedians. CoffeeCrumbs (talk) 01:27, 20 August 2026 (UTC)

But someone calling themselves a journalist, by the inherently inquisitive nature of that job, and especially when their topic is "about the editing histories of Wikipedia pages" ought to be more aware. Feline Hymnic (talk) 08:03, 20 August 2026 (UTC)

Thank you for the benefit of doubt. UN-on-WIKI (talk) 16:17, 20 August 2026 (UTC)

Hi TheClocksAlwaysTurn. My suggestion to you is to do the same thing you always do when receiving unsolicited (somewhat suspicious) emails; the fact that the email is related to Wikipedia shouldn't really matter any more than if it was an email from your local bank. You reached out to them on their user talk page. If they respond there to your satisfaction, then decide whether you want to engage further with them. If they don't respond, then just move on. If you start noticing messages similar to yours showing up on their user talk page or start receiving more emails from the account, then most likely they're someone who is WP:NOTHERE; you can then bring the account to attention of some Wikipedia administrator, who will appropriately deal with it. Another suggestion (if you're worried about more of this type of thing ocurring moving forward) is to create an email address solely for Wikipedia (if you haen't already done so) and only use it for that. -- Marchjuly (talk) 01:45, 20 August 2026 (UTC)

Hello everyone (@Marchjuly,Feline Hymnic, CoffeeCrumbs, *Jéské Couriano*, TSventon, LittlePuppers, TooManyFingers)

I'm the person who sent the email, so I wanted to respond here and hopefully clear up some understandable concerns. I also want to state that I am new to this community. I stumbled on this world while researching.

I am a journalist reporting on the current UN secretary-general selection and, specifically, on changes to the Wikipedia biographies of the candidates. I created this Wikipedia account for the purpose of contacting editors whose publicly visible editing histories were relevant to that reporting. I am not trying to identify editors, obtain anyone's private email address, or collect personal information about them. And for the sake of transparency, I sent similar email to a couple of other editors.

I also completely understand why an unsolicited email from a newly created Wikipedia account would cause caution. Anyone I contact is welcome to keep the entire conversation on Wikipedia if that is what they prefer. I am also happy to provide verification of my identity and journalism through my publication and professional work to anyone confortable speaking with me privately.

When I wrote "Wikipedia pages belonging to candidates," I meant the biographical articles about the candidates. Forgive me if I do not understand the lingo here yet. It is really a facinating world here and I have had to learn alot to even know that I could contact the editors.

I suggested email essentially because thought it would be more appropriate not to conduct reporting interviews on a public talk page, particularly because I wanted to allow those I reached out to the privacy to speak freely

without the public spectacle. I am not asking anyone to disclose their real-world identity. I am interested in understanding some of the things that are confusing to me and wanted to create an atmosphere where that exchange can be relaxed.

I hope that explains both the purpose of the outreach and why I initially thought email would be the more respectful forum. UN-on-WIKI (talk) 15:58, 20 August 2026 (UTC)

Do you intend to contribute to Wikipedia in some way? 331dot (talk) 16:15, 20 August 2026 (UTC)

I would hope that my reporting would contribute in some way. UN-on-WIKI (talk) 16:20, 20 August 2026 (UTC)

Thanks for responding.

Under your circumstances as an anonymous journalist requesting information, I expect that more than a few people will be suspicious whether you really are a journalist and who wants the information. I think posting your real-world identity on your user page, including which organization is funding this particular project (rather than a sample of who you've worked for in the past), would help a lot. TooManyFingers (*he/him* · talk) 16:21, 20 August 2026 (UTC)

Thank you, I will consider your suggestion. UN-on-WIKI (talk) 16:25, 20 August 2026 (UTC)

(With journalists, "who are you working for at this moment" can often be even more important than "who are you".) TooManyFingers (*he/him* · talk) 16:27, 20 August 2026 (UTC)

Hello @Marchjuly,Feline Hymnic, CoffeeCrumbs, *Jéské Couriano*, TSventon, LittlePuppers, TooManyFingers, 331dot I was told I couldn't keep my previous username. So, I changed it. You can reach me on this one if you want. Insearch-of-Something (talk) 15:46, 21 August 2026 (UTC)

In case it helps, there's Wikipedia:WikiProject United Nations. Hason-LEK-SG ● 💬 ● 🧱 ● 🧪 03:12, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

When I receive an email from anyone about an issue on Wikipedia, if it doesn't involve anything personally sensitive, I *always* reply on that user's talk page. Wikipedia business should be kept on Wikipedia. Emailing me is appropriate only when discussing personal identities or other off-wiki concerns. ~Anachronist (who / me) (talk) 15:13, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Dendera Light

Latest comment: 3 days ago | 4 comments | 3 people in discussion

In a now-archived help discussion, I offered to upload some panoramas to add to the 'Dendera Light' page. I've now uploaded those panoramas to the Wiki Media site, but can't add them to the article. I'm hoping someone else can do that, assuming the panoramas are wanted. Daniel DanielFFF (talk) 08:15, 20 August 2026 (UTC)

DanielFFF, the images are File:Z92 1570-75dev Temple of Hathor – 'Dendera light' pano2bLfEt(Wiki)F.jpg and File:Z92 1563-69dev Temple of Hathor – 'Dendera light' pano1bLfE(Xsz)T(Wiki)F.jpg; the article is Dendera light; the howto starts at either Help:Introduction to images with VisualEditor/1 or Help:Introduction to images with Wiki Markup/1 (depending on your taste in editing). If you encounter any difficulty, feel free to ask here. -- Hoary (talk)[WWU] 08:36, 20 August 2026 (UTC)

@DanielFFF Did you take those pictures, with your own camera? David10244 (talk) 19:28, 22 August 2026 (UTC)

FYI, the OP says he took the pictures and he posted EXIF data on his Talk page. I only asked because they were labeled "own work" and I wanted to check. David10244 (talk) 01:25, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

SAVED ARTICLES ON IPHONE APP N/A ON BROWSER

Latest comment: 3 days ago | 7 comments | 5 people in discussion

Looking for my saved articles to print out from browser, or save articlew from browser, are functions not available. Please correct in future. Scrutator41 (talk) 08:40, 20 August 2026 (UTC)

The "saved articles" function only exists on the app and not on the browser site. Athanelar (talk) 11:03, 20 August 2026 (UTC)

A saved pages feature was added to the browser site this year but I don't think it can retrieve pages from a similar app feature. PrimeHunter (talk) 11:41, 20 August 2026 (UTC)

@PrimeHunter That Preferences page says that the Saved Pages feature will be in beta until July 2026, when it will become permanent. Can that section be reworded if it's not permanent yet? Thanks. David10244 (talk) 06:29, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

@David10244: The text is from MediaWiki:Readinglists-beta-feature-description which is set by the feature. We could customize it but then we wouldn't get feature changes in the whole text so I don't want to do that. I have posted to mw:Talk:Readers/Reader Experience/Reading lists#Obsolete claim of beta until July 2026. PrimeHunter (talk) 10:52, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

@PrimeHunter Thanks. I think that you have corrected some unclear wording in policies, etc. before but I understand... Cheers. David10244 (talk) 01:19, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

@Scrutator41: If you're using a browser, the closest thing is to click on the ⋮ in the top-right corner of the page and go to the Print/export heading. You have the option to save the article as a PDF or turn it into a printable version. —Tenryuu (💬 • 📝) 13:44, 20 August 2026 (UTC)

How does it feel like to be a Interface administrator?

Latest comment: 3 days ago | 6 comments | 4 people in discussion

Hello, i was wondering on how would it be feel like to be an interface administrator? Like is it similar to the administrator experience? I'm not an interface administrator, but a regular user. Anyways let me know. Wikipedian2025 (talk) 13:33, 23 August 2026 (UTC)

Hello, @Wikipedian2025.

I am no kind of administrator, so I cannot answer your question directly. But it sounds as if you may have missed the line in the page you linked to that says The interface administrator permissions may be granted only to users who are current administrators.

As a user with only 23 edits so far (and, as far as I can see, not a single edit in article space), I'm afraid you have a long way to go before you have any realistic chance of becoming any kind of administrator (see WP:RFA#Nomination standards).

I suggest you forget about adminstration, and concentrate on improving articles and being a valuable editor. ColinFine (talk) 18:09, 23 August 2026 (UTC)

I have no plans to becoming an interface admin, but i would may be an admin on the Simple English Wikipedia in the future Wikipedian2025 (talk) 12:32, 24 August 2026 (UTC)

And I want to be an admin on WikiData or Commons some day. :) **Vitor**Friboquen :] (Talk) 21:27, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

It's like being a normal editor, but you can edit more pages. -- Reconrabbit (talk) 17:07, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

OK, thanks for the info! - Wikipedian2025 (talk) 14:33, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

I am beyond confused

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 5 comments | 3 people in discussion

Okay I am so confused right now. In the article 8191 (number), I was making a note, but then suddenly when I put the braces, it turns into

this

[a]

to

[b]

a. **Cite error: There are `<ref>` tags on this page without content in them (see the help page).**
b. **Cite error: There are `<ref>` tags on this page without content in them (see the help page).**

I'm so confused because there are no <ref> tags in the code, can someone explain this? Budew1234 (talk) 20:58, 23 August 2026 (UTC)

Hello, @Budew1234.

I think it is {{efn}} that includes that tag.

There is a note in Template:efn that says

`Note: If the note's content contains an "=" character, a reference error will be displayed; precede the content with |1= (or one of its aliases, |text=, |reference=, or |content=) to fix the error.` ColinFine (talk) 21:35, 23 August 2026 (UTC)

Thanks for the help! :D Budew1234 (talk) 22:10, 23 August 2026 (UTC)

@Budew1234: Alternatively you can use the template {{=}} pretty much anywhere, ignoring |1= etc., and which usually resolves as = . MinorProphet (talk) 18:45, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Oh thanks! I didn't know that Budew1234 (talk) 20:19, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Deceased Wikipedian's talk page still being archived

Latest comment: 5 days ago | 8 comments | 4 people in discussion

Need some help at User talk:Gobonobo. He passed away (RIP), but his talk page is still being archived, including peoples' condolences. Could someone change it so his talk page no longer automatically archives? NP (talk) 01:48, 24 August 2026 (UTC)

The page is missing the "do not archive template" which exists on User talk:Struway2 - Special:Diff/1318229000. That's why the talk page is archiving condolences. I also have a question for the help desk - e.g. from the talk page I've linked, {{User:ClueBot III/DoNotArchiveUntil|2076514728}}, what does the 2076514728 represent? Does it convert to 17:38, 20 October 2035 (UTC) which is displayed in the hidden comment? Iggy (Swan) (Contribs) 08:23, 24 August 2026 (UTC)

That would be a Unix timestamp, i. e. the number of seconds passed since 1 January 1970. And yes, it matches that date and time. -- **Maddy from Celeste (WAVEDASH)** 09:27, 24 August 2026 (UTC)

I now get what that number means thanks. I added the template I found on User:Iggy the Swan/sandbox to see how this works. Iggy (Swan) (Contribs) 18:02, 24 August 2026 (UTC)

I think one could just comment out the MiszaBot config template to stop the archiving? -- **Maddy from Celeste (WAVEDASH)** 09:27, 24 August 2026 (UTC)

The page may well still need to be archived, if notifications of deletion discussions or similar are posted there. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 11:22, 24 August 2026 (UTC)

Conversely, User talk:Ronhjones has a lot of discussions under the RIP section while having the DNAU in the right place. Not sure if that template affects just one section or every section currently visible. Might well be the latter as the page history shows before May 2020 that the bot archived discussions but not after. Iggy (Swan) (Contribs) 18:13, 24 August 2026 (UTC)

Template:Do not archive until only affects a single section. You can use it to "pin" a thread at the top of the page, for example. But the page you mentioned doesn't have any template that would enable archiving in the first place – presumably that was removed at some point (it does have an archive *indexing* bot template). -- **Maddy from Celeste (WAVEDASH)** 18:19, 24 August 2026 (UTC)

Re-use without attribution: HandWiki scraping IranNastaliq

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 26 comments | 9 people in discussion

Hi everyone,

I am the sole author of the article IranNastaliq on en-wiki (written under this username). It was recently brought to my attention that HandWiki copied my entire article text word-for-word to their site (https://handwiki.org/wiki/IranNastaliq) without providing any credit, author attribution, or a backlink to the original Wikipedia article.

This is a clear violation of the CC BY-SA 3.0 license. I attempted to contact HandWiki and their host regarding this issue 6 days ago, but I have not received a response, which feels very sketchy.

Since I am not very experienced with copyright procedures and license enforcement, I would really appreciate some advice: Since they are not responding, what is the best way to get this infringing page removed or taken down? Is filing a Google DMCA de-indexing request the recommended next step, or is there a specific community procedure for dealing with non-compliant mirrors/scrapers?

Thanks in advance for your guidance! Noamonsol234 (talk) 13:47, 24 August 2026 (UTC)

> Hi @Noamonsol234. Unfortunately many websites mirror Wikipedia without the correct attribution. There is little we can do about this, but feel free to pursue a DMCA request with Google. **qcne** (talk) 13:54, 24 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> @Noamonsol234: I see a box at https://handwiki.org/wiki/IranNastaliq#External_links saying: "Original source: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/IranNastaliq. Read more (https://handwiki.org/wiki/HandWiki:Original_Source_of_Article)". PrimeHunter (talk) 14:25, 24 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > I checked the page carefully and there is no such box, link, or attribution anywhere on that article. It seems like you might be looking at a cached version or confusing it with another page, but the infringing text is still up without any credit or backlink.
> >
> > The DMCA notice stands, and I've already filed a removal request directly with Google to de-index the page. Noamonsol234 (talk) 15:23, 24 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > On the contrary, @Noamonsol234, I also see the an attribution box at the bottom of the page. I think you are wrong, here. **qcne** (talk) 15:25, 24 August 2026 (UTC)
> > >
> > > > I linked the section with the box. It's between "IranNastaliq on GitHub" and "Category:Open-source typefaces". I see it in both a desktop browser and mobile browser. Do you seriously not have a box there? Do you have any browser extensions which might hide elements like suspected ads? PrimeHunter (talk) 15:41, 24 August 2026 (UTC)
> > > >
> > > > > I see the box on both desktop and mobile, despite using ad-blockers. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 16:19, 24 August 2026 (UTC)
> > > >
> > > > Yes, I saw that there is a source, but my rights as a user (Noamonsol234) are not mentioned at all. Noamonsol234 (talk) 17:15, 24 August 2026 (UTC)
> > > >
> > > > > Why would your rights as a user be mentioned? **qcne** (talk) 17:22, 24 August 2026 (UTC)
> > > > >
> > > > > > To answer your question directly: Wikipedia's license strictly requires Attribution to the actual creators, not just the platform. Wikipedia itself does not own the text; the individual authors do. This wasn't a quick copy-paste. I personally spent over six months researching, verifying facts, and writing this article. As the creator who invested half a year of labor into this work, I have the legal and moral right to be recognized for my writing. Simply citing "Wikipedia" is not enough. I kindly request that you update the credit to include my name as the author. Noamonsol234 (talk) 20:53, 24 August 2026 (UTC)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Every time you save an edit on Wikipedia, you agree that: "By publishing changes, you agree to the Terms of Use, and you irrevocably agree to release your contribution under the CC BY-SA 4.0 License and the GFDL. *You agree*

that a hyperlink or URL is sufficient attribution under the Creative Commons license." [emphasis mine] This is the small print right above the "Publish changes" button. -- Maddy from Celeste (WAVEDASH) 20:57, 24 August 2026 (UTC)

Yeah this is not how Wikipedia works. You are misinformed. qcne (talk) 21:40, 24 August 2026 (UTC)

@Noamonsol234 See WP:Reusing_Wikipedia_content#Re-use_of_text_under_Creative_Commons_Attribution-ShareAlike for the formal policy. Those reusing WP content are not required to mention individual users. For some of our articles, there would be dozens of people involved. Mike Turnbull (talk) 17:29, 24 August 2026 (UTC)

More like hundreds, if not thousands. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 21:47, 24 August 2026 (UTC)

@Noamonsol234 Right; for many articles, it would be impractical to credit, by name, scores or hundreds of contributors. You may think that if you are the only contributor, your name could appear, but what about articles with 4 contributors? Should they be listed? How about 15? 50? Where is the cutoff? David10244 (talk) 07:04, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

I am the sole author of the "IranNastaliq" entry. I put in grueling, hard work and conducted extensive research entirely on my own—compounded by the fact that the subject originates from an unfriendly country, which required me to navigate numerous obstacles. I believe I deserve at least a small credit at the end of the article. Noamonsol234 (talk) 13:02, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

I'm really sorry @Noamonsol234 but that's not how Wikipedia works. If you're expecting any kind of formal or official credit for your contributions to Wikipedia you're on the wrong website. qcne (talk) 13:07, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

@qcne With all due respect, I am not asking for a "special favor," I am addressing the legal reality of the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 (CC BY-SA 3.0) Unported License, under which all Wikipedia content is published.

Section 4(c) of the CC BY-SA 3.0 legal code explicitly dictates the conditions of Attribution. While Section 4(c)(i) allows attribution via a hyperlink back to the original article, it is contingent upon providing that link.

When a third-party mirror copies content without providing any backlink or attribution whatsoever, it violates the terms of the CC BY-SA 3.0 license. Under Section 7(a), any breach of the license terms automatically terminates the mirror's right to use or reuse the copyrighted text.

Once the license terminates, their continued hosting of the text constitutes straight copyright infringement. Since I hold the copyright to my original contributions (Wikipedia editors do not surrender copyright; we merely grant a perpetual non-exclusive license under CC BY-SA), I am fully within my legal rights to file DMCA takedown requests against unlicensed, non-compliant scrapers—which is precisely what I have done.

Nobody is asking Wikipedia to change its model; I am simply holding illegal scraping websites accountable under the exact license conditions set by Wikimedia. Noamonsol234 (talk) 14:57, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

The handwiki website does correctly provide a hyperlink to the original article so complies with the License, so I have no idea why you are complaining?

Or, are you communicating via an AI chatbot and the chatbot just doesn't know why you're complaining either? **qcne** (talk) 14:59, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

@Noamonsol234 You have filed a DMCA takedown request?

Uhhhhh.... I'm not sure how that will go, but I am curious to see what will happen.

To the hosts here: Does WMF legal need to know that a takedown request has been filed against the site, which appears to be compliant according to you experienced hosts here? The site operators might be confused. David10244 (talk) 01:35, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

@Noamonsol234 "I am the sole author..." You and a TA contributed most of the text, but it looks like your userid contributed about half of it, so your userid is not the "sole author". You might, or might not, be the same as the TA. Not that that is relevant. Plus, what Andy said about template creators, etc. David10244 (talk) 01:42, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Hi @David10244, just to clarify: I am indeed the sole author of all the actual written text, research, and technical analysis in this article. The other edits by editors or TAs were administrative/formatting maintenance—such as adding technical cleanup templates or automated tables—not content creation. None of the actual research or prose was written by anyone else. Thanks for pointing out the template credit aspect as well! Noamonsol234 (talk) 06:11, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

I think you still don't get it. The fact that you are the creator of an article, or have put the most work into an article, does not give you any special privileges. Wikipedia does not work that way. If you try to take action, you will almost certainly be on your own. Thiago Rodrigues30 (talk) 22:21, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Noamonsol234 is one of 14 contributors (https://xtools.wmcloud.org/articleinfo/en.wikipedia.org/IranNastaliq) to the article as it currently stands.

Not to mention all the editors who worked on the templates transcluded there, and the modules and style sheets which populate and format them.

Given that "Noamonsol234" is not, presumably their commonly-used personal name, and that their (empty) user page does not identify them using such, it is hard to see what they think they would gain from such a credit in any case. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 14:03, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

@Noamonsol234: "Read more (https://handwiki.org/wiki/HandWiki:Original_Source_of_Article)" in the box links a page mentioning the license. When you make a source edit in Wikipedia, the line above the "Publish changes" button says: "By publishing changes, you agree to the Terms of Use, and you irrevocably agree to release your contribution under the CC BY-SA 4.0 License and the GFDL. You agree that a hyperlink or URL is sufficient attribution under the Creative Commons license." PrimeHunter (talk) 19:45, 24 August 2026 (UTC)

I don't mean to pile on Noamonsol234, but you are aware of Wikipedia:Ownership of content, right? I'm not trying to be a jerk, but your account is only a few months old with less than 100 edits made so far; so, I'm just asking out of curiosity. I think it's great that you put the time and effort into creating an article like "Nastaliq", but creators of articles don't really have any special control over what they create. You're correct that the Wikimedia Foundation (WMF) considers those creating/editing content on any Wikipedia page to be the

copyright holder of said content; Wikipedia, however, is collaborative editing project in which many people may contribute to an article over time and no single person has final editorial control over its content. This is (at least as how I understand things) the reason why attribution simply requires a link to the relative article by those wanting to WP:REUSE Wikipedia content; attributing individual contributors makes little sense (at least to me) because what one person has added to an article today may end up being modified or removed by someone else at some point in time (sometimes almost immediately). You could, as someone pointed out above, send a Wikipedia:Standard license violation letter if you want, but you will very likely be on your own if you decide to do that. All the money and time you might spend on such an effort will be, for the most part, your own, and you shouldn't expect any real assistance from the WMF (unless perhaps your efforts start to generate lots of publicity). I'm not trying to diminish the time and effort you put into creating that article, but you might want to consider whether Wikipedia is the right place to "publish" your work if this kind of thing really bothers you. -- Marchjuly (talk) 07:42, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

How to do OCR

Latest comment: 4 days ago | 7 comments | 4 people in discussion

Anyone got an idea how to make OCR work here (https://anales.uchile.cl/index.php/ANUC/article/view/22112/23428)? I need it for Isluga Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 18:45, 24 August 2026 (UTC)

> Second ghit for "online ocr pdf" was https://www.ilovepdf.com/ocr-pdf, which worked about as well as could be reasonably expected for text that broken up. (First hit was Adobe, which was predictably useless.) —Cryptic 19:46, 24 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> Would downloading it from Google books work? It is available here (https://www.google.com/books/edition/Anales_de_la_Universidad_de_Chile/yEYFAAAAQAAJ?hl=en&gbpv=1&dq=Apuntes+jeol%C3%B3jicos+i+jeogr%C3%A1ficos+sobre+la+Provincia+de+Tarapaca+en+el+Peru,+acompa%C3%B1ados+de+una+lijera+noticia+sobre+la+esplotacion+del+nitrato+de+soda&pg=RA1-PA665&printsec=frontcover). TSventon (talk) 19:55, 24 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> If it's old enough to be out-of-copyright, upload it to Wikimedia Commons. Then you can load it in Wikisource, where you can OCR (with several options, to get the best results) and transcribe it. Nine pages shouldn't take long, and the transcription will then be available for everyone to see and use. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 20:59, 24 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > ilovepdf worked. Pigsonthewing in light of commons:Template talk:PD-Chile and es:Francisco Puelma Castillo it probably is PD. Must confess I am not that well-versed with PDF uploads to Commons or transfer to Wikisource. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 17:39, 25 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > A good excuse to learn, then :) Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 17:48, 25 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> This source (https://anales.uchile.cl/index.php/ANUC/article/view/25323/26646) has the same problem but this time it's too long for ilovepdf OCR tool. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 18:13, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

Python

Latest comment: 5 days ago | 5 comments | 4 people in discussion

Do you think it is correct for Python to be a disambiguation page, or should it be a redirect to Pythonidae? ~2026-46267-22 (talk) 20:46, 24 August 2026 (UTC)

> @~2026-46267-22: It should certainly not redirect to Pythonidae when Python (programming language) gets ten times as many page views.[1] If anything, the programming language should be at the base title and the disambiguation page at Python (disambiguation). PrimeHunter (talk) 21:23, 24 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > @PrimeHunter: outside the narrow world of coding, there is no doubt that for most people "python" means the snake, and I don't think there is anything that benefits casual readers in making the language the main topic **Jimfbleak** - *talk to me?* 13:07, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

I didn't suggest actually doing it. I just indicated that IF we made a main topic then it should be the language. "python" means the snake for most people but not for most people who look it up in Wikipedia. PrimeHunter (talk) 13:21, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

Oracle being a counter-example to your theory, where the company gets five times the views of the original meaning, yet on that occasion, we prioritise our educational role in teaching people where words come from. Which I prefer. ~2026-46084-30 (talk) 14:08, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

Premier Fresh Australia - excessive citations

Latest comment: 5 days ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

The article Premier Fresh Australia has way too many citations. 120 for a stub article. I posted about it on the Australian wiki project (which looks dead). I know I will not get around to fixing this, but if someone else does I would appreciate it. EulerianTrail (talk) 03:32, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

FYI the Wikiproject is at Wikipedia:Australian Wikipedians' notice board and not Portal talk: Australia (as I understand it, most portals are fairly inactive these days... Though I suppose the same could be said of a large number of wikiprojects). I might take a stab at it later but editing on mobile rn. Alpha3031 (t • c) 14:33, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

Having access to 2 postcards not available on site. Receive message of account suspended.

Latest comment: 4 days ago | 4 comments | 3 people in discussion

http://www.southporttimes.com/postcards/1939pricescreeklight.html

http://www.southporttimes.com/postcards/2010/1908-prices-creek-lighthouse.html ~2026-46431-93 (talk) 03:58, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

This is the help desk for the English-language Wikipedia. We cannot help you with anything else. AndyTheGrump (talk) 04:41, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

In case you plan to use those on Wikipedia, you can view archived versions of them here:

- https://web.archive.org/web/20060717040658/http://southporttimes.com/postcards/1939pricescreeklight.html
- https://web.archive.org/web/20101022033738/http://www.southporttimes.com/postcards/2010/1908-prices-creek-lighthouse.html

-- Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 08:03, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

The same images are now also available via (click thumbnails for larger versions) in c:Category:Price's Creek Light. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 13:44, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

Colibri

Latest comment: 3 days ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

Hi, a few days ago I started a discussion on Talk:Colibri but only received one reply. How can I get more opinions? Thanks. ~2026-46538-92 (talk) 14:05, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

@~2026-46538-92 It is usual to wait for 7 days before either being WP:BOLD and doing what you proposed (assuming no dissenting opinions) or, in this case, finding that all the opinions support your idea. Currently all three comments agree with you, so you should be fine. Mike Turnbull (talk) 12:10, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Article on Lanette Ware-Bushfield Submission Question

Latest comment: 5 days ago | 4 comments | 4 people in discussion

Hello, my name is BluL.

I have been working on a Wikipedia page for Producer and Actor, Lanette Ware-Bushfield (using the Source Editor). My first submission was declined, so I added more information and disclosed that I am a student and not getting paid to make this page. My submission was declined again and I want to ask what is missing and if you have any advice to make this article stronger and better prepared for a successful submission?

Here is the link to my draft: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Draft:Lanette_Ware-Bushfield

Thank you! BluL (talk) 14:25, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

> Sourcing that is independent, reliable, and talks *about* the subject. And many of your cites don't go to the content you're supporting with cites, but the front page of advocacy organizations. CoffeeCrumbs (talk) 14:39, 25 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> What is missing is exactly what the decline notice says: significant coverage in reliable, independent sources. All the sources you cite are websites of different organizations with listings of her films, etc. What you need is sources, for example journalistic sources, with significant coverage about this person. I can see none cited in your draft right now. -- **Maddy from Celeste (WAVEDASH)** 14:39, 25 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> Hello, @BluL
>
> A Wikipedia article should be a neutral summary of what the majority of people who are wholly unconnected with the subject have independently chosen to publish about the subject in reliable publications, (see Golden rule) and not much else. What you know (or anybody else knows) about the subject is not relevant except where it can be verified from a reliable published source.
>
> You need to remove any citation to a source that doesn't mention her, and any citation to an unreliable source, such as iMDB, together with any information supported by a source you've removed.
>
> Sources that mention her but don't go into any depth, and sources connected with her (in particular, published by any organisation she is a member of, or has worked with), put on one side - you may be able to cite limited uncontroversial facts from them, but only if you've first got enough sources complying with WP:42 to create a basic article.
>
> My main advice is to put this on one side for a few months, while you gain experience of how Wikipedia works. My earnest advice to new editors is to not even *think* about trying to create an article until you have spent several weeks - at least - learning about how Wikipedia works by making improvements to existing articles. Once you have understood core policies such as verifiability, neutral point of view, reliable, independent sources, and notability, and experienced how we handle disagreements with other editors (the Bold, Revert, Discuss cycle), then you might be ready to read your first article carefully, and try creating a draft. If you don't follow this advice but try to create an article without this preparation, you are likely to have a frustrating and disappointing experience with Wikipedia. ColinFine (talk) 15:36, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

Referencing errors on McKinney, Texas

Latest comment: 5 days ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

Reference help requested. How do I site a source correctly. When i tried to on the mckinney Texas page it wan't correct. Could you please Explain to me. Thanks, ReallyCoolPotatos (talk) 14:46, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

Hello, @ReallyCoolPotatos.

The immediate problem is that you haven't give the title of the source, and {{cite web}} requires a `title=`

The underlying problem is that what you are linking to is not a piece of writing about McKinney, Texas (in fact, not a piece of writing about anything), and so is not of much use in Wikipedia - like many of the sources cited in that article, I must admit.

A Wikipedia article should be a neutral summary of what the majority of people who are wholly unconnected with the subject have independently chosen to publish about the subject in reliable publications, (see Golden rule) and not much else. What you know (or anybody else knows) about the subject is not relevant except where it can be verified from a reliable published source.

It's great that you are keen to contribute to Wikipedia by adding information, and well done for finding a source and citing it. But unless an author or a journalist has seen fit to write *about* that school in the town, why should it be mentioned in the article at all? ColinFine (talk) 15:54, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

~~Inverting image color~~

Latest comment: 4 days ago | 2 comments | 1 person in discussion

~~On Template:UK light rail I added the skin-invert-image / skin-invert class to the image and it works perfectly well in the editor preview, but when viewing the actual navbox, it fails to invert the image color. I have only tested this on the vector dark skin. I suspect this may be caused by its navbox being nested. TPI81AF (talk) 17:17, 25 August 2026 (UTC)~~

There was another class attribute that I had missed and duplicates are not allowed. Bruce1ee helped me to fix it. TPI81AF (talk) 19:15, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

BIG Problem with Dolly Parton Header on google search - requires immediate change

Latest comment: 4 days ago | 3 comments | 3 people in discussion

On google search for dolly, the wikipedia page link on Google reads as "Dolly Parton - Adam Lanza was a hero". Adam Lanza was a mass murderer at a school shooting at Sandy Hook elementary. I would upload a screenshot if I was able to but this form does ot allow this. ~2026-46428-92 (talk) 18:37, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

Though we have no control over what Google displays, it sounds like article could have been vandalized. I think it's okay now. 331dot (talk) 18:50, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

Unfortunately, Google works at the speed of cache. Purge yours. —*Jéské Couriano* v^_^v Look out it's Jimothy! 19:06, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

---

basic question, how to change font for whole page?

Latest comment: 4 days ago | 7 comments | 4 people in discussion

how do i change the fonts for the entire article? I used the tags below, but it only changed the font for one paragraph?

Arial

thanks. Sm8900 (talk) 21:02, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

@Sm8900: `<span>...</span>` is an inline element. `<div>...</div>` is a block element. Use that instead. PrimeHunter (talk) 21:48, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

thanks very much. one small question, which help page would provide that info? thanks! Sm8900 (talk) 22:05, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

@Sm8900: span and div are HTML tags and not MediaWiki code but allowed by MediaWiki which just passes them on to the browser. They are mentioned at Help:HTML in wikitext#Containers which says they are block and inline elements. It doesn't explain what that means but there is a link to span and div. PrimeHunter (talk) 22:44, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

Please note that MOS:FONTFAMILY says "Font families should not be explicitly defined in articles, except when displaying PUA characters (see § PUA characters section)." You should not be setting a font family for the entirety of a mainspace article. CodeTalker (talk) 00:16, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

True - though it looks like they may have been asking the question in relation to userspace. ~2026-46084-30 (talk) 01:51, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

yes, ti was for my user space, thanks. Sm8900 (talk) 13:38, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

What happned to My Impact?

Latest comment: 4 days ago | 3 comments | 2 people in discussion

Even with JavaScript enabled, when for example visiting my user page, and clicked for "My Impact", the error message appears with the following text:
Please enable JavaScript to view this component.

And no, I don't have NoScript installed in my Firefox ESR (In Debian GNU/Linux). I only have uBlock Origin, ProtonVPN and Return YouTube Dislike installed.

This is a bug? **Vitor**Friboquen :] (Talk) 21:26, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

Do you refer to the collapsible "My Impact" box you have added to User:Vitorperrut555? It works for me. It transcludes Special:Impact/Vitorperrut555. Does it work if you click the link to view it directly, or view it as part of Special:Homepage? PrimeHunter (talk) 22:19, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

It transcludes Special:Impact/Vitorperrut555. Does it work if you click the link to view it directly
@PrimeHunter I clicked for this link and worked. My user page now worked fine for me. **Vitor**Friboquen :] (Talk) 22:38, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

---

what are the new line numbers?

Latest comment: 4 days ago | 3 comments | 2 people in discussion

i notice there are now line numbers, in any pages that i edit in the wikipedia user space. could you please explain? is this a new thing? Sm8900 (talk) 21:45, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

@Sm8900: I guess you have enabled syntax highlighting on a pencil icon in the editing toolbar. This gives a gear icon to the right where you can choose whether to have line numbers. PrimeHunter (talk) 22:12, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

you're right, that fixed it. thanks! Sm8900 (talk) 22:31, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

Privé

Latest comment: 3 days ago | 6 comments | 5 people in discussion

There is an EP under the title Privé, but we also have articles on Isabelle Privé, Sylvain Privé, &privé HD, and Saint-Privé. Would it be appropriate to add a hatnote or create a disambiguation page? ~2026-46285-43 (talk) 23:10, 25 August 2026 (UTC)

> Just one little note - if you do create it (not saying you should), and if you include Saint-Privé within the scope, then make sure you disambiguate all the way to the two different Saint-Privés rather than the disambiguation page, so you don't create a double disambiguation. ~2026-46084-30 (talk) 01:55, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > @ColinFine Could you please clarify this issue? A user replied, but it is not clear what the correct course of action is. Thank you. ~2026-46622-82 (talk) 13:40, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > Hello, @~2026-46622-82 (are you also @~2026-46285-43?) There isn't a right or wrong answer.
> > >
> > > If you think that people are likely to look up one of the other subjects under that name, then by all means create a DAB page, and put a hatnote on the first article. ColinFine (talk) 14:43, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
> > >
> > > > @ColinFine I'm not sure how to proceed. Is there a way to get further opinions from other expert users? ~2026-46661-61 (talk) 09:53, 27 August 2026 (UTC)
> > > >
> > > > > Hello, @~2026-46661-61.
> > > > >
> > > > > I would say, be WP:BOLD - the worst thing that can happen is somebody disagrees and undoes your work.
> > > > >
> > > > > In any case, as you are not a logged-in user, you will not be able to create an article directly, but need to use WP:AFC to create it. ColinFine (talk) 18:05, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

How to make a new category?

Latest comment: 4 days ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

Hi! I had a question on making/proposing categories. I've been translating a number of articles, and several of them have something in common that likely warrants a category. However, I have no idea how to do this or what the process is like. Can somebody walk me through this or point me towards the right guide/resource? Any help would be much appreciated :) Losipov (talk) 03:19, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

> @Losipov: Categories are created by simply creating the category page in the same way as other pages. There is rarely a prior discussion. Parent categories are added to it in the same way as categories on articles. See more at Help:Category. PrimeHunter (talk) 03:27, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

Draft:Vepakomma Bhujanga Rao

Latest comment: 4 days ago | 9 comments | 4 people in discussion

hi, I want to upload my draft.i'm unable to do that. The content is mine. I have taken help of chatgpt to put into the format requied. Language is mine. Pl help me to upload into wikipedia. There are no images,pictues,photos etc. Thanks Vepcrew1* (talk) 03:21, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

> @Vepcrew1*: Please be aware that according to WP:LLM:

> For this reason, the use of LLMs to generate or rewrite article content is prohibited, except for the uses as outlined below. See Wikipedia:Responsibly using large language models for advice on using LLMs for purposes other than content generation.
>
> 1. Editors are permitted to use LLMs to suggest corrections to their own writing, and to incorporate them after human review. This is limited to spelling, punctuation, capitalisation, grammar, and other simple mistakes. Editors must ensure that any text copyedited in this manner is still supported by the sources cited.
> 2. Editors are permitted to use LLMs to translate articles from another language's Wikipedia into the English Wikipedia, but must follow the guidance laid out at Wikipedia:LLM-assisted translation. Unreviewed or poorly reviewed LLM translations often result in similar policy violations to content generated from scratch.

Wikilinks removed, footnote removed. If you have taken help of chatgpt [*sic*] to put into the format requied [*sic*], it will not be accepted by Wikipedia. I suggest going through the AFC process and using your draft when it hadn't gone through an LLM yet. —Tenryuu (💬 • 📝) 03:36, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

> Thank you for pointing this out. I understand the WP:LLM requirement and will follow it. Vepcrew1* (talk) 04:05, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

Respectfully, @Vepcrew1*, it is extremely difficult to believe that the "language" in that draft is yours given the clear gap in English proficiency between your comment here and the text in your draft.

Please be aware that there are Wikipedia projects in all the major Indian languages including Hindi, Telugu, and Kannada. If you are not confident in your ability to write encyclopedic content in English, consider instead trying to publish your article on one of those versions of Wikipedia (as a bonus, they also generally have lower requirements for entry.) Athanelar (talk) 11:51, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

Thank you for your kind comments. Please know that I wrote it. This draft has been on my mind for the last few weeks, and I have been making corrections continuously. Finally, I submitted it in that form. Personally, I'm not in favour of publishing in regional languages. But I would appreciate any suggestions. I can resubmit the draft as you suggest. With regards Vepcrew1* (talk) 12:08, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

> Hello, @Vepcrew1*.
>
> Your username suggests that you might have a connection with Rao. Please clarify what your connection is, or that you have none.
>
> A Wikipedia article should be a neutral summary of what the majority of people who are wholly unconnected with the subject have independently chosen to publish about the subject in reliable publications, (see Golden rule) and not much else. What you know (or anybody else knows) about the subject is not relevant except where it can be verified from a reliable published source.
>
> So essentially nothing that comes from Rao or his associates, and nothing that you know about him, belong in the article, unless it is verifiable from reliable independent sources.
>
> My earnest advice to new editors is to not even *think* about trying to create an article until you have spent several weeks - at least - learning about how Wikipedia works by making improvements to existing articles. Once you have understood core policies such as verifiability, neutral point of view, reliable, independent sources, and notability, and experienced how we handle disagreements with other editors (the Bold, Revert, Discuss cycle), then you might be ready to read your first article carefully, and try creating a draft. If you don't follow this advice but try to create an article without this preparation, you are likely to have a frustrating and disappointing experience with Wikipedia. ColinFine (talk) 13:17, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Hello, Colin Fine. I am Dr. V. Bhujanga Rao, the subject of the draft. V stands for Vepakomma, which is my family name. Thank you for pointing this out. I understand that I have a conflict of interest and that Wikipedia requires reliable, independent published sources. I will disclose my connection and will not resubmit the draft until I better understand the relevant Wikipedia policies. Vepcrew1* (talk) 13:35, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > Thank you, @Vepcrew1*.
> > >
> > > Please understand that very few people have ever successfully written articles about themselves on Wikipedia. Please see autobiography . ColinFine (talk) 14:45, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
> > >
> > > > Thank you. I understand your advice and will follow it. with regards Vepcrew1* (talk) 14:57, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

Elisabeth_Förster-Nietzsche (Missing Citation)

Latest comment: 4 days ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

- **Courtesy link:** Elisabeth Förster-Nietzsche § Affiliation with the Nazi Party

Hello, I was reading the article for Elisabeth_Förster-Nietzsche, and interested in what her relation to Hitler was (currently researching whether Friedrich Nietzsche's works were massively distorted to fit Fascistic ideology) when I noticed that an important citation was missing. The citation was in the "Affilitation with the Nazi Party," section. Is it possible that this could be edited in? I was really curious about this. It's this part:

"When Hitler came to power in 1933, the Nietzsche Archive received financial support and publicity from the government, in return for which Förster-Nietzsche bestowed her brother's considerable prestige on the regime. [citation needed]"

Thanks beforehand, and if you can't edit this, that's fine too. ~2026-46430-06 (talk) 04:23, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

> Hi ~2026-46430-06. It's not clear what you mean by Is it possible that this could be edited in? since it seems (at least to me) that could be taken in two way. If you mean "Can I add the missing citation?", then yes you may be WP:BOLD and do so as long as the whatever you're citing as a source for that content is considered to meet Wikipedia's definition of a reliable source. If you're not sure how to properly format a citation, then try looking at Help:Referencing for beginners for some general information on how to do that. If, on the other hand, you mean "Can someone else please add a citation", then yes they too can as long as they're citing a reliable source. Finding such a source in the first place might depen on how much time you want to devote to doing so, but as long as the source cited is reliable it can b done; moreover, the source doesn't need to be available online as long as it's published and accessible. If no source for this content cannot be found, then at some point the content may need to be considered for removal per Wikipedia:Verifiability and Wikipedia: No original research. The "citation needed" template for that particular sentence was added in October 2025; so, it's been there for almost a year. Whether that indicates enough time has passed so that the content should be removed is hard to say since there's no way to know how many people have tried to find a source to cite for that sentence. FWIW, I tried Googling "Nietzsche Archive received financial support and publicity from the Nazis", but most of the early results were to Wikipedia or Wikipedia mirrors. You might need to find someone who knows more about the Nietzsche Archive and his sister's connection to the Nazis to find a proper source for such a statement, even if it's true. You could try asking at Wikipedia talk:WikiProject Germany. Perhaps there's a source in German that could be cited in support. -- Marchjuly (talk) 05:56, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

National portrait gallery image

Latest comment: 4 days ago | 4 comments | 3 people in discussion

I'm confused about whether I can upload this pic (https://www.npg.org.uk/collections/search/use-this-image/?mkey=mw218315) from the NPG to an article I am going to create about a person (deceased). Can you advise? Thanks. **Tony Holkham** *(Talk)* 06:01, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

> Hi Tony Holkham. Photos found on the NPG London's official website can be a bit tricky to assess because of National Portrait Gallery and Wikimedia Foundation copyright dispute; the NPG has a track record of claiming copyright ownership over all the photos on its site, even one which has already entered into the public domain. The description provided for the photo you linked states it was commissioned in 1964 and its copyright ownership is being claimed by the NPG. A photo from 1964 is probably not old enough to have entered into the public domain under UK copyright per c:COM:TOO UK depending on when the photographer died; moreover, it was probably still under copyright protection on January 1, 1996 (the UK's URAA date), which means its likely still protected under US copyright law. You might want to double-check these things at c:COM:VPC, but my guess is that such an image will need to be uploaded locally to Wikipedia as non-free content (Wikimedia Commons doesn't accept non-free content of any type).
>
> Whether you can do that depends on whether the use of the file satisfies all ten non-free content use criteria. Non-free photos of deceased individuals tend to be allowed as long as they are used for primary identification

purposes at the tops of or in the main infoboxes of stand-alone article about such people; uses in other ways or other article tends to be much harder to justify. The main problem is most likely going to be meeting WP:FREER because non-free content can't be used when it's reasonable to expect that a free-equivalent image can either be found or created. While it's impossible to take a new photo of someone who has died, it's not totally unheard of a freely licensed photo being found for someone who has already died, particularly someone born in 1908. So, before uploading any non-free image, you should at least make a reasonable attempt to find one that's licensed in a way that's free enough for Wikipedia's purposes (even if it's not exactly the same photo). If you do that and come up empty, a non-free one might be OK; however, you shouldn't upload it until the draft you're working on has either been approved as an article or moved to the mainspace: non-free content can't be used in drafts and is subject to speedy deletion as "orphaned non-free use" if a valid non-free use can't be found for it in some article. -- Marchjuly (talk) 06:24, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

Thanks for your very thorough answer. Once the article is in mainspace, I will upload the image to the infobox, because it would not be possible for me to obtain any other image. Cheers! **Tony Holkham** *(Talk)* 06:44, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

"National Portrait Gallery and Wikimedia Foundation copyright dispute" does not make assessment any more difficult than if it did not exist. Images are either in copyright or not regardless of the claims made by NPG or other orgs. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 13:29, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

How do I add a new page?

Latest comment: 3 days ago | 4 comments | 4 people in discussion

I wanna create a new Wikipedia for my boss. She's gonna be in Miss Philippines. Eeh548 (talk) 08:44, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

First step is to read WP:BOSS. Following that, if you're still interested in going ahead, there's some useful advice at WP:Your first article. In solidarity, nil [nz] 09:46, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

@Eeh548 I'm going to speak in slightly stronger terms than Nil; please don't, you will inevitably only waste your own time and the time of the volunteer editors who have to try to help you. Trying to create an article on behalf of one's boss/client/employer/etc is a task that many editors attempt on a daily basis, and they are universally unsuccessful. Wikipedia article creation is a difficult task which **requires** knowledge and experience of Wikipedia. For your boss to expect you to write their article when you do not have that knowledge and experience is no different than if your boss asked you to design their logo for them with no graphic design experience or code their website with no programming experience. It is a task for which you are not adequately equipped, and for you to become so would require you to spend at least a few weeks and a few hundred edits being a productive volunteer editor first. Athanelar (talk) 11:44, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

@Eeh548 Also, contestants in beauty pageants are not inherently notable. What newspapers or magazines have published in-depth artcles about her *accomplishments*, which are not based on interviews or press releases? Please see WP:N. David10244 (talk) 02:04, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

How to move footnotes on a Talk page from the foot of the page to the relevant topic?

Latest comment: 4 days ago | 3 comments | 2 people in discussion

An editor pasted a table into a Topic on page Talk:Opinion polling for the 2026 Israeli legislative election, which resulted in a table of References and a set of footnotes appearing at the foot of the page. I inserted a ref-talk template, which moved the list of References to the correct position. To my surprise, it left the footnotes at the foot of the page, where they interfere visually with whatever is the most recent Topic. How can I cause them to relocate to the relevant Topic? Thanks

Misha Wolf (talk) 08:52, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

It looks like these are using efn rather than ref templates? If so {{Notelist-talk}} should do what you need. In solidarity, nil [nz] 09:43, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

That did it! Thanks Misha Wolf (talk) 10:55, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

Remove this article about me

Latest comment: 22 hours ago | 7 comments | 6 people in discussion

Please remove my profile. It was added without my permission. ~2026-46306-05 (talk) 09:49, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

You'll have to tell us which article you are referring to. However, a person cannot grant or deny permission for there to be an article about them here, any more than they can grant or deny permission for The New York Times to write about them. The wishes of the subject are not the only consideration in determining if an article should exist. If you want to argue that you are not a notable person, then per WP:BLPREQUESTDELETE your wishes may be taken into account in close cases. 331dot (talk) 09:56, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

It's probably about Jimmy Spire Ssentongo which looks unlikely to be deleted. PrimeHunter (talk) 13:53, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

There's some weird syntax in that article in the middle of the next-to-last paragraph, along with a stray comma. But I don't understand the sentence well enough to attempt a fix. The person does seem notable. David10244 (talk) 02:10, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

I've had a go at rewriting that section. I agree that the article's subject seems notable. Suðurhafsljósæta (talk) 14:20, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

This comment seems to indicate that the subject of the article does not want their date of birth to be publicly known, for some (unclear) reason. Maybe that's the real issue the subject has with the article, because otherwise I cannot find anything anyone is likely to object to in an article about themselves. --Florian Blaschke (talk) 19:18, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

See, specifically, WP:DOB. Some people regard it as an invasion of their privacy for Wikipedia to publish their birthdate, but when it has already published by other RS, this is a difficult case to argue. In any case, the year of birth can never be removed, by our policy. --Florian Blaschke (talk) 19:29, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Two redirects

Latest comment: 4 days ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

I updated the redirects William Hamilton (sportsman) and Crown (anatomy) to point to the disambiguation pages because they are ambiguous; is there an automated bot that handles correcting the wikilinks in these cases? ~2026-46365-18 (talk) 10:08, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

Thank you for updating these redirects. They are indeed ambiguous and should point to disambiguation pages. Unfortunately, no bot is intelligent enough to work out reliably which article is the best target for each incoming wikilink, so the only way to correct them is manually. I'm working on them now. Certes (talk) 13:18, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

Wiki Authority Group

Latest comment: 3 days ago | 17 comments | 10 people in discussion

I have been approached by this group who has extensively researched the concept I created back in 1998 called NetWeavng. They have NOT promised to get it into Wikipedia, and have been very honests about some of the materials I sent them as not qualifying for review. I went to pay them as part of a 3 part installment, but had a suspicious 3 digit confirmation number which did not correspond with mine. Can you just verify if they are editors used by Wikipedia. They have been very professional and I'm been very impressed with their thoroughness and honesty but I just wanted to double check. ChiefNetWeaver1 (talk) 13:29, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

> Hi @ChiefNetWeaver1. I am afraid you have been scammed. Please carefully read Wikipedia:Scam warning and follow the instructions there to forward the messages with the scammers to *paid-en-wp@wikipedia.org*. I am sorry you have wasted your money. **qcne** (talk) 13:39, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > See https://wikiauthoritygroup.com/ SuperFastSpellChecker (talk) 14:03, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > "I am afraid you have been scammed."— Do you have any evidence for this claim? Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 15:59, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > Fuck off, @Pigsonthewing. **qcne** (talk) 16:11, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
> > >
> > > > @Qcne: That response is uncalled for. This self-appointed "Wiki Authority Group" (WAG) is not endorsed by Wikipedia and is of doubtful benefit. Like many editors, I dislike organisations which exist to make money out of our unpaid work. However, they do not seem to have promised anything they did not deliver. They advised that some material provided by the client was not "qualifying for review", which I guess means that in their opinion it is promotional, non-notable or otherwise unworthy of inclusion in Wikipedia. That's a useful service which saves everyone the effort of writing and deleting a bad article. Although we would happily have provided that advice ourselves free of charge, it does sound like a legitimate activity for which it would not be unreasonable to charge. I don't think we have enough evidence to label them as scammers. Certes (talk) 18:53, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
> > > >
> > > > > What a weird hill to die on. **qcne** (talk) 18:55, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
> > > > >
> > > > > This is a scam. The only evidence I can find of NetWeavng [*sic*] is this thread, and of NetWeaving an old Teahouse question. From their page, Full article development for individuals and institutions meeting notability thresholds. Includes source audit, neutral-voice draft, counsel review, submission, and thirty days of post-publication monitoring. I don't see any drafts. Hm.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > On their (WAG) website, they have a list of pages that are clearly framed as their creations/clients, however in tiny text below it says These pages are cited as quality benchmarks. Wiki Authority Group makes no claim of authorship or affiliation with the subjects listed. All pages verified free of deletion, cleanup, and maintenance tags as of publication.. So no actual examples of their work. What kind of company has clients like the NYT, Bloomberg, The White Rectangle That Is Fox News, etc, without providing any case studies or examples of their actual work? The website is also, as I've mentioned prior, AI slop; with a client list like that I would expect they have enough money to afford a working website made by a human, but I guess not. msk 19:09, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > The domain was only registered in April for just one year (https://www.whois.com/whois/wikiauthoritygroup.com). **qcne** (talk) 19:11, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > There's also the simple fact that services like this are scams inherently, with *very* few exceptions. 99% of these sorts of websites make their trade by preying on the fact that their clients have no idea how publication works on Wikipedia, and they deliberately obfuscate the process to give the impression that paying a "professional" to do it for you is a sensible or even mandatory step. This one is obviously no exception, as their website demonstrates very, very well. Athanelar (talk) 21:57, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > @Athanelar Yes, they do sound shady. David10244 (talk) 03:56, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

@Qcne Well, THAT wasn't civil. David10244 (talk) 03:54, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

I don't think a legitimate organization would have a wholly vibe-coded website and have all their text content be written by AI. msk 16:26, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

Or try to give the impression that a business founded in 'MMXIX' (i.e. 2019) was responsible for articles created many years before. AndyTheGrump (talk) 17:00, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

Where do they do that? Are you looking at the page which includes the text "Wiki Authority Group makes no claim of authorship or affiliation with the subjects listed"? Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 17:53, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

Yeah, I'm looking at that page. Do you really think they expect everyone to read the small print at the bottom? The whole thing is an exercise in bullshit. They provide zero verifiable evidence that they are capable of delivering anything. AndyTheGrump (talk) 19:16, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

Don't send them a red cent. Even if they are acting in good faith, there isn't any reason to be paying them for work literally any user here would do for free. —*Jéské Couriano* v^_^v Look out it's Jimothy! 16:34, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

Meta/Global userpage

Latest comment: 4 days ago | 4 comments | 2 people in discussion

Hello, I'm mildly aware of the existence of userpages copied from meta.wikimedia.org. However, I couldn't find any documentation about it. Could someone help me find that page? And also, what can I even do/edit on Metawiki or Mediawiki? I heard there are some scripts that can be applied there so they show up on every Wiki? Thanks. Hason-LEK-SG • 💬 • 🧱 • 🧪 13:32, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

@Hason-LEK-SIN: See Wikipedia:Global user page. Special:Preferences#mw-prefsection-rendering has links to meta at "Shared CSS/JavaScript for all wikis (more information)". That's all you can do for your global account at meta. You cannot do anything for it at MediaWiki. They are both wikis which can edited by your account, e.g participating in global discussions at Meta or editing the MediaWiki documentation at MediaWiki. PrimeHunter (talk) 13:43, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

And what about global user information (for any user)? Hason-LEK-SG • 💬 • 🧱 • 🧪 13:56, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

Wait never mind, there's Special:CentralAuth Hason-LEK-SG • 💬 • 🧱 • 🧪 14:02, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

Guidance needed on Draft:Hibret Insurance (WP:NORG)

Latest comment: 4 days ago | 4 comments | 3 people in discussion

Hi everyone. I am working on Draft:Hibret Insurance and would really appreciate some guidance from an experienced editor. The draft was declined under WP:NORG, and I want to ensure I fully understand where my sourcing is falling short so I can fix it properly.

I have removed routine annual earnings reports and tried to anchor the draft in an academic management study (Assefa, 2022), an AAU master's thesis on Ethiopian financial mergers, and historical press coverage of its 2000 merger with Lion Insurance.

Since I am still learning Wikipedia's criteria for significant secondary coverage, could someone help me identify the main flaws in my current source list? Specifically, I'd love to know which sources fail the WP:NORG test and what kind of independent coverage I still need to find to meet the baseline standards.

Thank you so much for your time and help! HiddenWalia (talk) 13:49, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

> You have listed the three best sources`at Draft talk:Hibret Insurance, which is useful, so I will respond there. By the way, it is also helpful to mention you are a paid editor when starting a discussion in a new location. TSventon (talk) 14:17, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> Hello, @HiddenWalia. If you have not read the essay WP:BOSS, I recommend that you do so. ColinFine (talk) 14:49, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Thank you @ColinFine: I will do so -- HiddenWalia (talk) 15:21, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

|Archive-url = requires| url=

Latest comment: 3 days ago | 3 comments | 2 people in discussion

Inserting a news citation I have tried about 4 times to enter the archived url against ref 27 but it keeps showing an error This is the url https://www.britishnewspaperarchive.com/image-viewer?issue=BL%2F0003894%2F19930806&page=3&article=003&stringtohighlight=anita+lafford

There are no errors in it I would appreciate your help Cheltman (talk) 13:53, 26 August 2026 (UTC)

> @Cheltman: See Template:Cite news#csdoc archiveurl. I don't have access to britishnewspaperarchive.com but I guess the page is not a snapshot of another url. If that's right then just use `|url=` and not `|archive-url=`. If it *is* a snapshot then place the other url in `|url=`. PrimeHunter (talk) 14:52, 26 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Thank you Primehunter, that is very helpful - error now eliminated. Cheltman (talk) 17:00, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Request to mark my old account as inactive

Latest comment: 3 days ago | 6 comments | 4 people in discussion

Hi! I own the account User:Hollowww. I have completely lost access to the email I used to register that account, and I can no longer log in. I created this new account (User:.saccharine0) to continue making contributions as a clean start. Could an administrator place the Template:Clean start (or anything like it) on my old account's user page to indicate that it is inactive? I will not make any edit on my new account until that is done. Thanks! .saccharine0 (talk) 03:28, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

> @.saccharine0 You are perfectly able to edit your old account's userpage; anybody can edit a userpage. The best thing to do would be to place a notice yourself, and place a similar notice on your new account indicating that it is linked to the old account. Athanelar (talk) 08:06, 27 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > @Athanelar, they cannot edit that page since they are not yet autoconfirmed on their new account. User pages are semi-protected by default (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Requests_for_comment/Protect_user_pages_by_default). ~2026-46771-62 (talk) 08:57, 27 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > @~2026-46771-62, I can edit my old user page... but should I? Should I place a notice myself using this new account? .saccharine0 (talk) 12:08, 27 August 2026 (UTC)
> > >
> > > > Yes, you can. Losing access to one's original account and having to open a new one, in the way you have, happens quite often (we see queries like this most weeks), and the actions Athanelar advises are standard.
> > > >
> > > > You don't have to go into details: you can just write something like "I lost access to this account and now edit as .saccharine0." and "I used to edit as [old account name] but lost access to that account." {The poster formerly known as 87.81.230.195} ~2026-43920-01 (talk) 14:25, 27 August 2026 (UTC)
> > > >
> > > > > Okay, got it. Thank you! .saccharine0 (talk) 14:57, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

FDW777: bad behavior

Latest comment: 3 days ago | 3 comments | 3 people in discussion

*The following discussion is closed. **Please do not modify it.** Subsequent comments should be made on the appropriate discussion page. No further edits should be made to this discussion.*

Wrong venue, as noted. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 12:16, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

@FDW777:: stop this bad behavior on Talk:Lyra McKee deleting discussion about name of publisher .... Xo4v (talk) 08:07, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

> @Xo4v: The Wikipedia Help Desk is not the appropriate venue for calling someone out like you've done above and then WP:PINGing them to respond. If you're having a content dispute with another user, you should follow the guidance in WP:DISPUTERESOLUTION and try to resolve things through discussion on the article's talk page. If you've tried that and things still remain unresolved or you feel the other user's behavior has now become a problem, you should seek assistance from an administrator at an appropriate administrator's noticeboard; before you do that though, you might want to take a look at WP:ANI advice. -- Marchjuly (talk) 08:20, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

*The discussion above is closed. **Please do not modify it.** Subsequent comments should be made on the appropriate discussion page. No further edits should be made to this discussion.*

Can a Wikipedia-app editor ask a question here?

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 9 comments | 3 people in discussion

I make mostly small edits on Wikipedia using the WP app (on a Samsung Galaxy tablet with a just-updated version of the app). I can access and view the contents of both WP:TEA and WP:HD but in both cases if I click the "Ask a question" button it pushes me out of the WP app into the browser, where I have a different Temporary Account. I do not want to ask questions as one TA about my editing as another TA. Is there any work-around so I can ask questions from *within* the WP app? Thanks. ~2026-42114-05 (talk) 10:30, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

> @~2026-42114-05 I'm not sure about the technicalities of the app but wouldn't it just be simpler to create an account (using an anonymous name if you like)? WP:TA expire after 90 days, so you will be forced to use multiple ones in the long term. Mike Turnbull (talk) 11:49, 27 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > I don't know how to log in in the app. (I do have a registered ID which I rarely use.) If I enter Special:UserLogin that also pushes me into the browser so I end up logged in in the browser but still a TA in the app. It is difficult to tell "who I am" in the app, so I went into WP:SAND and started a trivial edit. In the Preview stage (and only then) the user-50/50-silhouette appeared and (if I remember correctly) a message saying "Your edit will be attributed to (my usual app TA, not this browser one)". I clicked the silhouette and it offered a logon so I entered my ID and password (that worked fine in the browser), and after a short delay it put a mostly-blank screen saying only "You are no longer logged in, so the action could not be completed" and a button "Log in / Join Wikipedia". (I didn't think to try clicking that button; I will try again after submitting this. I'm on a tablet that doesn't like doing too many things at once). ~2026-46677-01 (talk) 08:58, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > I am both 46677-01 and 42114-05. I don't know why it has changed - nothing I did knowingly. ~2026-46677-01 (talk) 09:01, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > If anyone is tempted to say "Why don't you just edit in the browser?" I am tempted to reply "I prefer the app for the small edits I do. What is the app there for?" ~2026-46677-01 (talk) 09:05, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > I have again tried editing WP:SAND using the WP app and can now describe it more accurately. The half-silhouette appears in all three steps: editing, Preview & Summarize_edit, and in each case if I click

the silhouette and try to log on it still terminates in the mostly-blank screen but now saying "Invalid CSRF token" and the button says "Go back" (which it does; the "Log in" button didn't display so I couldn't try it). It gave the same response when I deliberately entered a wrong password. ~2026-46677-01 (talk) 10:08, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

@~2026-46677-01 I think that you need to take this to WP:Village pump (technical) to get expert help! Mike Turnbull (talk) 21:36, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Thank you I will do that. ~2026-46677-01 (talk) 00:58, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Here is my post: Wikipedia:Village_pump_(technical)#Limitations_of_the_Wikipedia_app? ~2026-46677-01 (talk) 11:40, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Tortello

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 6 comments | 5 people in discussion

Hi, could someone change the Tortello redirect to point to Tortelli and add a hatnote pointing to Pedro Tortello? ~2026-46636-84 (talk) 13:31, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Hi TA editor, you posted this at the Teahouse first. Please don't ask the same question at both venues. TSventon (talk) 13:56, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

@TSventon But I haven't received any reply; could someone do that? Thanks. ~2026-46694-98 (talk) 14:55, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

You posted on the Teahouse at 10:23, 27 August 2026 (UTC), and are complainng about a lack of response just three hours later. You have not paid for a service-level agreement contract, and you're getting Wikipedia for free. Be patient. A week might be a reasonable period to wait for an interested volunteer to do what you've asked. Or you could put some effort in and do it yourself. Bazza 7 (talk) 15:01, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

@Bazza 7 @TSventon I changed the redirect Tortello to point to Tortelli. I wanted someone more experienced to handle it. I mainly edit on other language versions of Wikipedia. Do any categories need to be changed on the redirect, or is there anything else to do? Thanks for the help. ~2026-46881-33 (talk) 19:29, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

I changed the Redirect category to R from singular. Usually guessing and previewing "R to" or "R from" something works for me. TSventon (talk) 21:09, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

How to search in nested ZIP files

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 3 comments | 2 people in discussion

This item (https://www.researchgate.net/publication/336813249_IAVCEI_2004_GENERAL_ASSEMBLY_PROCEEDINGS_WITH_ABSTRACTS) is a ZIP file which contains nested ZIP files of folders with PDFs, and somewhere here is a reference to Isluga. I have no idea how to find it. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 13:42, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

For Windows, see [2]. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 16:07, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

That's for finding a title, not the content, but it gave a suggestion which worked. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 18:32, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Add topic missing

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 6 comments | 4 people in discussion

Hello, I just found out that the word "Add topic" was missing. Now there is only "edit source", WHY IS THIS?? ArtopiaBoy (talk) — Preceding undated comment added 14:32, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

> Hello, @ArtopiaBoy. Which page are you looking at? And are you looking on an app or in a browser? ColinFine (talk) 18:09, 27 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> @ArtopiaBoy: It may be called "New section". Is "Enable quick topic adding" enabled at Special:Preferences#mw-prefsection-editing? PrimeHunter (talk) 18:22, 27 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > I am on a browser, on the top it says "Edit source", not "add topic", i can't find "New Section", tf is this missing??? ArtopiaBoy (talk) 13:56, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > @ArtopiaBoy The foundation has recently updated the UI on discussion pages. **Add Topic** is now right at the top on the left, not in the usual toolbar. **qcne** (talk) 14:02, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
> > >
> > > It's to the right for me. PrimeHunter (talk) 00:35, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Adding a newspaper resource?

Latest comment: 3 days ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

This is probably a basic question but I still need assistance...

How do I add these free Somerville, MA newspapers https://somervillema.historyarchives.online/ to the Wikipedia list of free archives? Wikipedia:List of online newspaper archives#Massachusetts

Thanks so much for any help - just wanting to get it right! Stampdragon (talk) 16:26, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

> Hi Stampdragon, you could copy and adapt the "Somerset Public Library" section using source editor.
>
> I checked the archive and found 14 instances of "lost cat", so the archive works. TSventon (talk) 16:51, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Page preview image when the top image is a page from a PDF

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 1 comment | 1 person in discussion

I've noticed that if the top image in article is a page in a PDF on Commons, the page image used in previews (search results, link popups) is for the cover of the PDF not the page specified. Is this is a bug? Or is this normal? Is there a way to fix it?

For example, the top image on Old Bolsheviks is defined by `File:Альбом по истории ВКП(б) (1926).pdf|page=57`, but when hovering on a link to Old Bolsheviks the preview image is the cover of the PDF not page 57. – Scyrme (talk/solidarity) 18:30, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Referencing errors on 2008 TCU Horned Frogs football team

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 5 comments | 3 people in discussion

Diff/1357329090

If I make a citation and it doesn't have a title, what do I do? I don't how how to deal with bare urls.

Thanks, Domoomoo3 (talk) 18:31, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Might help to specify what source you're talking about. Edit: nevermind, I see you linked the diff in the heading. `;; Maddy from Celeste (WAVEDASH)` 18:32, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

You might want to read Help:Introduction to referencing with VisualEditor/1. I think that would answer your question. `;; Maddy from Celeste (WAVEDASH)` 18:34, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

@Domoomoo3: You should add a title yourself, which you seem to have already done for your example here. If what you're referencing really has no suitable title at all, then I would suggest you question whether it's actually a reliable source per Wikipedia's guidelines, since it's very unlikely that a reliable source has no title.

If you do happen to have a rare case of a reliable source with no title and you're certain that no title was specified by the original source, then state so by explicitly setting the title as `[untitled]` including the square brackets. Using square brackets makes it clear that it's an editorial statement and not the actual title of the work being referenced (there are some works that literally and intentionally have the title "Untitled", so it's necessary to be clear when it's an editorial statement rather than what the author(s) called it). This is what the documentation at Template:Title missing recommends doing in such cases; the documentation is written for editors doing cleanup on references which lack a title. – Scyrme (talk/solidarity) 18:56, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Does this answer the question or did I misunderstand? – Scyrme (talk/solidarity) 19:06, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

A Photo Is Misused

Latest comment: 7 hours ago | 24 comments | 5 people in discussion

Hello. Please note that the same photograph appears representing two different people, Joseph Gayetty and John Alfred Kimberly. Mr. Kimberly is co-founder of Kimberly-Clark, which has produced paper products for nearly 150 years. He did not invent toilet paper. Mr. Gayetty is credited with that invention, which may or may not be true. I don't know. But he was not an identical twin of Mr. Kimberly. I don't see a photo of the real Mr. Gayetty anywhere, just a lot of Mr. Kimberly AS him, based on this error. Here are the two wikipedia image locations as proof that the same photo is being used twice, and only once correctly: Gayetty – ~~https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Joseph_Gayetty#/media/File:Joseph_C_Gayetty.jpg~~ https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Joseph_C_Gayetty.jpg and Kimberly – https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:John_Alfred_Kimberly.jpg Redlandsearch (talk) 20:01, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Good catch, Redlandsearch. See, and feel free to contribute to, c:Commons:Deletion requests/File:John Alfred Kimberly.jpg. -- Hoary (talk)[WWU] 22:27, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Hoary I think you have nominated the wrong file, the subject appears to be Kimberley. TSventon (talk) 22:37, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Hello again. I'm new to this, so forgive me for not following the thread. Yes, both photos are Kimberly (his name has no second "e"). I can't find a photo of Gayetti that isn't Kimberly. I am associated with an historic home Mr. Kimberly owned, and have access to many personal photos. The one on Mr. Gayetti's page should be removed. I do not know how to do that. If someone else can, great. If not I will try to learn how and contact whomever can do that. Thanks for jumping in so quickly "Hoary" and "TSvenson." ~2026-46811-45 (talk) 22:49, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

Oop -- I fucked up. Sorry! I've withdrawn my stupid deletion request. (Deletion, BTW, is a slightly complex business.) I have also removed it from the article on Gayetti. (Removal of an image from an article is a very simple matter. As is readdition, which I fear will happen soon unless the file is deleted.) Incidentally, I notice that back in 2014, when the photo of Kimberly was added as a photo of Gayetti, the article on the latter already had an image: File:GayettysMedicatedPaperAdvertisement.jpg. It's very informative; I'll have to look (in the history or talk page) for why it was removed. Until the photo misrepresenting Kimberly as Gayetti is deleted from Commons, it will continue to be used for Gayetti. In order to have it deleted from Commons, we need to present convincing evidence that it is indeed of Kimberly. This (https://www.redlandsdailyfacts.com/2015/07/07/kimberly-crest-in-redlands-to-celebrate-john-alfred-kimberlys-birthday/) might help but wouldn't be enough. I can't find any photo of Kimberly in the Kimberly-Clark website. Redlandsearch, does the photo perhaps appear in some old book or periodical that's at the Internet Archive? Or can you cite an appearance in some other book or periodical? -- Hoary (talk)[WWU] 23:50, 27 August 2026 (UTC)

> This (https://archive.org/details/sharedvalueshist0000spec/page/24/mode/2up) is pretty convincing. -- Hoary (talk)[WWU] 23:57, 27 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> I have access to many photos. There are clippings in newspapers that could be linked, or downloaded as PDFs. There is a Kimberly-Clark book with photos of the founders, which includes him, though younger than this photo. Is there a place to upload a few, or should I find places online where they can be viewed.
>
> In the meantime, if "Find a Grave" is reliable (and it is in this case at least, as I recognize all the other relatives on the page) this has the same photo: https://www.findagrave.com/memorial/6859350/john_alfred-kimberly ~2026-46723-24 (talk) 00:05, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > newspapers.com clippings are useful as as some editors get access through the Wikipedia library. TSventon (talk) 00:12, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Redlandsearch, please stay logged in while editing; doing so makes communication easier. Sorry, not Find a Grave. I second TSventon on articles obtainable via newspapers.com. Not "many photos", please; instead, ideally this one photograph, unambiguously presented as a portrait of Kimberly, as published/reproduced in two or three places (books, magazine articles, etc) at any time before 20 Feb 2016. If you have one or two very convincing examples that are on paper (or microfiche or whatever) but seemingly not on the internet, then you could provide its/their publication details in a comment you'd attach to the "delete" nomination that I, citing *Shared values: A history of Kimberly-Clark*, page 24, would have already kicked off. -- Hoary (talk)[WWU] 01:01, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

> Sorry to break away. "Shared Values" is the book I have here. I will do some more research in the morning. At the Kimberlys former home here in Redlands (where JA Kimberly passed away), we have an archive. I'll just need to make an appointment and hopefully get in there tomorrow with some citations. They have some publications and I'll do my best. I'll get back on this thread when I have what I think will work – and hopefully figure out how to post the evidence. Redlandsearch (talk) 02:15, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Redlandsearch, for a cited source to be available on the web is much appreciated but unnecessary. You can cite a work -- newspaper/magazine/journal/encyclopedia article, book chapter, etc -- whether consulted on the original paper or via microfilm, microfiche, CD-ROM, DVD-ROM, etc. Imagine that you're providing the info for a grad school term paper, and that the prof who'll read and grade the term paper is a stickler for completeness and accuracy in citation. The only book devoted to the company that I know of is Thomas Heinrich and Bob Batchelor, *Kotex, Kleenex, Huggies: Kimberly-Clark and the Consumer Revolution in American Business* (Columbus: Ohio State University Press, 2004; ISBN 0-8142-0976-9); surprisingly, the whole book is downloadable from Project MUSE (https://muse.jhu.edu/book/28319), but annoyingly it doesn't have a photo of Kimberly.) -- Hoary (talk)[WWU] 06:46, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > Here are three stories from newspapers.com, each with a photo. I see you've removed the photo from Gayetty. I've removed the image from Mr. Gayetty's FamilySearch page and will keep an eye on that.
> >
> > The Daily News-Times, Neenah, Wisconsin · Monday, January 23, 1928 (Obit) - https://www.newspapers.com/image/445038564/?match=1&terms=John%20Alfred%20Kimberly&search-id=73d496e2-1e73-41c4-bb32-382db48bc6cb&search-rank=1

The Neenah Daily Times, Neenah, Wisconsin · Saturday, October 30, 1915 (Golden Anniversary) - https://www.newspapers.com/image/445525552/?match=2&terms=John%20Alfred%20Kimberly&search-id=73d496e2-1e73-41c4-bb32-382db48bc6cb&search-rank=8

The Oshkosh Northwestern, Mon, Jan 23, 1928 ·Page 1 (Obit) – https://www.newspapers.com/image/246109682/?match=6&terms=John%20Alfred%20Kimberly&search-id=73d496e2-1e73-41c4-bb32-382db48bc6cb&search-rank=4

I think that covers it. I'll remain logged in while I'm online this morning.

Thanks for all your help. Redlandsearch (talk) 13:45, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Redlandsearch, unfortunately I don't currently have access to newspapers.com and therefore can't check that when you say "each with a photo" above, you mean that each of these three stories includes this very photo. Please confirm. -- Hoary (talk)[WWU] 23:34, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Okay. I was going off of this comment: "newspapers.com clippings are useful as as some editors get access through the Wikipedia library. TSventon (talk) 00:12, 28 August 2026 (UTC)"

So apparently they are not useful in this case.

I could cite the three articles more thoroughly, but you still couldn't verify that there are photographs on those pages. But I will if you want me to.

Clearly, the photographs that were on the Gayetty and Kimberly entries were identical. There are no photographs anywhere for Gayetty. Thank you for removing Mr. Kimberly's photo from Gayetty's page.

I am working to remove it from the many other sources that took their cue from Wikipedia.

Thanks again. Redlandsearch (talk) 00:07, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Redlandsearch, I don't have to verify that each of these three includes the same photograph. I'm merely asking. The best case: all three do. (Failing that, each of the three includes a photograph of somebody who greatly resembles, and probably *is*, the person depicted in *Shared Values*.) -- Hoary (talk)[WWU] 00:34, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

"Here are three stories from newspapers.com, each with a photo." That was in the message that included the links. I will go and find out how to present a citation from a newspaper and send them back tomorrow.

Thanks again for all the help here. Feels like we've just about got it. Redlandsearch (talk) 00:39, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Redlandsearch, your way of citing the articles is fine for the purpose. But you continue to refer to "a photo". Is this the photograph that Commons uses for Kimberly and Gayetti, or (for any or all of the three) is it a different photograph? -- Hoary (talk)[WWU] 00:55, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Hoary have you tried clicking on the newspapers.com links? When I click on them I get a message saying that I don't have access with a small preview of the page. Each page has a photo of Kimberly, as far as I can see the three photos seem to be the same as each other, but not the same as the Commons image. The resolution is low so I can't be sure. TSventon (talk) 02:05, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

I didn't think of that, TSventon. Duh! (I looked in the "N" area of TWL, was surprised not to find it -- but farther down the page, TWL reminded me that it's a website needing special permission, and that my own had ended last year.) But now that I look: The resolution is indeed low, too low for me to be absolutely sure that the three photos are identical to each other -- but high enough to be sure that they aren't the photo that's (twice) at Commons. Redlandsearch, could you perhaps revisit the Kimberlys' château and see if its archivist can make any guesses at where else the disputed photograph could have appeared? -- Hoary (talk)[WWU] 02:29, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

At a guess, the photo might appear in one or other of these books (or booklets):

- *Kimberly-Clark Company: 50th anniversary, 1872–1922* (1922)

- *Kimberly-Clark: A brief history* (1972)

Who knows, it might even appear in *Kimberly-Clark today* (1994).

It does *not* appear in *Four men and a machine: Commemorating the seventy-fifth anniversary of Kimberly-Clark Corporation* (1947): we can see that it doesn't by viewing this publication here (http://www.mum.org/fourmen.htm). -- Hoary (talk)[WWU] 04:29, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

@Hoary and Redlandsearch: I have found the source of the image and most of the text of John A. Kimberly. Article text and an image link were added at 18:56, 21 February 2016, see diff (https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=John_A._Kimberly&diff=prev&oldid=706145438).

- The image url is archived at https://web.archive.org/web/20160307192439/http://www.paperhall.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/07/ja_kimberly-236x300.jpg
- The page about John A. Kimberly is archived at https://web.archive.org/web/20160313204304/http://www.paperhall.org/johnkimberly/
- Kimberley was one of the first inductees, in 1995, see https://web.archive.org/web/20140802150806/http://www.paperhall.org/inductees-by-year/
- Earwig copyright check: here (https://copyvios.toolforge.org/?lang=en&project=wikipedia&title=John+A.+Kimberly&oldid=&use_engine=0&use_links=0&action=compare&url=https%3A%2F%2Fen.wikipedia.org%2Fw%2Findex.php%3Ftitle%3DUser%3AMoneytrees%2Fdummy%26oldid%3D1371949257)
- paperhall.org was the website of the Paper Industry International Hall of Fame, Inc. Presumably the photo came from Kimberly-Clark. According to our article on Appleton, Wisconsin "The Atlas Science Center, formerly Paper Discovery Center, was a museum and workshop center focused on papermaking and the history of the paper industry in the area. It was first conceived in 1999 as part of the Paper Industry International Hall of Fame, Inc. The Kimberly-Clark Corporation donated its former Atlas Mill on the Fox River in Appleton to house the center, and after it was opened in February 2005, its programs included hands-on work experience, tours, and general information on papermaking. The center closed in November 2024 and donated its remaining assets." TSventon (talk) 15:19, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

  Thank you both. Redlandsearch (talk) 15:45, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

  A quick look suggests that this will be very useful, TSventon. But I'm in a big rush right now and will be away from any computer for several hours. When I return, I'll give this the attention it deserves. -- Hoary (talk)[WWU] 20:28, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

  Done, wordily (because sleepily). -- Hoary (talk)[WWU] 11:02, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Image crop

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

I tried uploading a cropped version of File:Jefferson Blvd Far North.jpg to get rid of the white but it did nothing. May someone please download it and crop it and upload it to get rid of the blank space? Wobs100 (talk) 00:38, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

@Wobs100: It looks right to me. There is sometimes a delay before a new file version is shown so maybe you were affected by that earlier. PrimeHunter (talk) 03:39, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Table - column width

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

Hi, I'd like to create a table where the width of first column is fixed, and the width of the other columns would be set automatically by the Wiki software, based on the text those columns contain. Is there a way to do that ? Mr Serjeant Buzfuz (talk) 01:33, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

> @Mr Serjeant Buzfuz: Set the width of one of the cells in the column. It's usually done in the header cell. See Help:Width of tables, columns, and cells#CSS width on columns. PrimeHunter (talk) 03:37, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

"What links here (specifically)?"

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 5 comments | 3 people in discussion

Is there a way to find what pages target a particular anchor rather than the whole page it is in? Thanks, ~2026-42114-05 (talk) 06:43, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

> The "what links here?" page explicitly states the target section/anchor for redirects, but it doesn't track links to sections/anchors from articles. As Help:What links here § Fragments notes, "What links here" cannot list the backlinks of a specific section/anchor only. However, it suggests using insource searches as a workaround. If you're only interested in direct links to anchors, not redirects to anchors, then as far as I know insource searches are your only option. This requires some familiarity with using regex when searching.
>
> If you wanted to find the pages that link to Bibliography of biology § Anatomy you could search:
> `linksto:"Bibliography of biology" insource:/"Bibliography of biology"(\#|\|)"Anatomy"/i`
>
> The `(\#|\|)` bit between the page title and anchor/section title looks for *either* `#` or `|` so this search lists both direct links and links via templates like {{slink}} and {{see also}}.
>
> Using the example I provided the search results listed 2 articles that link to the section called "Anatomy" via templates. Since anchors and sections are linked to in the same way, you can use the name of an anchor instead of a section heading. The example I gave is *not* case sensitive; capitalisation doesn't matter. – Scyrme (talk/solidarity) 11:11, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Another note: If the titles you're interested in contain only words separated by spaces, then substituting the article title and anchor title into the example I gave will probably work for you. However, if the article or anchor title includes certain characters (eg. quote marks, brackets) then it's necessary to escape them with a backslash \ so that the search interprets them just as ordinary characters, otherwise the search won't work. – Scyrme (talk/solidarity) 11:25, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > Thank you. This will definitely give me something to think over. ~2026-46677-01 (talk) 23:10, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
> > >
> > > (Former 42114-05) ~2026-46677-01 (talk) 23:11, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Need some guidance on an article I have reviewed

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 5 comments | 3 people in discussion

Hello! The article John Junior has had a full clean up, with less relevant material removed, neutral tone and sourcing reviewed. I wanted some guidance if its possible for me to remove the issue banner. Thanks! Have a lovely day. Stafford1970 (talk) 09:18, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

> Hi @Stafford1970. Yep, if you've cleaned up the article please do remove the maintenance template :) qcne (talk) 09:49, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Hi! @Qcne. Thanks for your reply. I will do, thanks :] Stafford1970 (talk) 09:58, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

See also: Help:Maintenance template removal. Mr Serjeant Buzfuz (talk) 12:37, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Hello! I have removed, first time of me doing that, hope its all good!! Thanks for your help Stafford1970 (talk) 18:06, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

---

ownership

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 11 comments | 6 people in discussion

Courtesy link: Christian Davenport

hello,

my name is christian davenport and my wikipedia name is Cdkrocwiki. I was wondering how I go about taking ownership of my page to make sure that someone does not place false information about me there. related, I was trying to put up a photo of myself on my page to differentiate myself from someone with the same name. Thoughts?

thanks in advance

christian Cdkrocwiki (talk) 14:27, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

@Cdkrocwiki: Subjects do not own articles about them. —Tenryuu (•) 14:36, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

If by "my page" you mean an article about you, you cannot take ownership of it. That's just not how Wikipedia works. (See WP:OWN for further info.) In-fact, you should not even edit the page directly because you have a conflict of interest. You should instead submit an edit request for another, independent editor to review, which you can do via Wikipedia:Edit Request Wizard/COI. Biographical articles on Wikipedia should be written independently of the subject they're about. – Scyrme (talk/solidarity) 14:37, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

I also noticed that Trudat2000 has previously declared a conflict of interest, stating in an edit summary that they are the subject of the page Christian Davenport. Either one of you is not (this) Christian Davenport, or you have previously edited under name Trudat2000. If the latter is the case, then you should be aware that Wikipedia has very strict policies regarding using multiple accounts. – Scyrme (talk/solidarity) 14:46, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

I also wonder if you have a connection with Cwikid, another editor of the same article, given the similarities between your usernames. – Scyrme (talk/solidarity) 14:50, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Trudat2000 last edited in 2021 (and Cwikid in 2022); Cdkrocwiki first edited the article this year. While COI is an issue, our "very strict policies regarding using multiple accounts" do not seem to have been breached.

Nonetheless, if these are Cdkrocwiki's account, they would be well advised to say so, and to restrict their editing to just one account. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 15:01, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

To be clear, I wasn't suggesting the policies had been breached. I only meant that they should know about the policies in case they had made these accounts without awareness of the policies, as that could lead to unintentionally breaching them (and possibly getting indefinitely blocked as a result, especially if their intent is unclear). – Scyrme (talk/solidarity) 15:25, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

See also WP:About you. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 14:40, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

@Cdkrocwiki There is no COI in uploading a picture of yourself to Wikimedia Commons so that someone can add it to the biography. Please make sure that it is a selfie or that you have the right to license it in the way Commons requires. More at WP:A picture of you. Mike Turnbull (talk) 15:47, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

GMTA or FSD, take your pick. Gråbergs Gråa Sång (talk) 15:48, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

And if you like, Wikipedia:A picture of you. Gråbergs Gråa Sång (talk) 15:47, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Logging into wikipedia

Latest comment: 13 hours ago | 3 comments | 2 people in discussion

I've been unable to login to Wikipedia, because the verification code is not received. This is true both for the new app as well as for logging into the browser. ~2026-46823-14 (talk) 15:31, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

> I'm not an expert, but you'll likely need to add a valid email to get a verification code. Check your spam/junk folder; check for typos, even a space can cause the email to not be received. If that doesn't work, you may need try a different email address. Giovanni Guasconti (a word with me) 04:11, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> The folks at Village pump (technical) may be able to help you as well. Giovanni Guasconti (a word with me) 04:14, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Otto Braun

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

Hi, Otto Braun (disambiguation) was created today. I would like to ask whether the disambiguator for Otto Braun (communist) is correct; in your opinion, is it clear and does it comply with naming conventions? ~2026-46784-64 (talk) 15:38, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

> Hi there. Looks fine to me. qcne (talk) 15:39, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Controversies surrounding Mahatma ghandi

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 10 comments | 4 people in discussion

- Noredirect link: controversies surrounding Mahatma Gandhi

Hi. I was recently checking an article I made controversies surrounding Mahatma Gandhi. I wasn't really following it much since I was on other things. But when I click it, I'm redirected to another article named legacy of Mahatma Gandhi. I got confused thinking it's a bug or something, cause I never got an AfD or message if it was deleted. But when I see logs I see some users made multiple changes without any warning, and they seem to be disputing facts with personal opinions. Check here [[3]]. The article has been changed. I'm not sure if it's vandalism or what. I was asking what's happening here exactly. They changed and deleted everything without any proper discussions in talk or afd or anything really. I was wondering what's my next step to reverse how I fix the redirect. Omniking2100 (talk) 16:13, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

> Talk:Controversies surrounding Mahatma Gandhi still exists. You can start a thread there or at Talk:Legacy of Mahatma Gandhi, ping the involved editors and try to WP:COMMUNICATE. Gråbergs Gråa Sång (talk) 16:50, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> It seems the article was gradually cut down piece by piece, with the content being primarily removed on the grounds of WP:OR; no source given which indicates a controversy. Presumably the issue was that though you provided sources for these being views expressed by Gandhi, you relied on these views being self-evidently controversial rather than providing sources which demonstrate that the views generated controversy.
>
> @Joshua Jonathan was responsible for most of the deletions, though @Accesscrawl/@Jamlu also contributed. It seems the latter made it a redirect when the article had been reduced to a stub with no substantial content remaining.
>
> My suggestion would be to revert to a revision before the deletions and move the article to Draft: space to work on addressing the disputed content before moving the article back to main space after things are more or

less resolved. If you're struggling to reach an agreement, you can request a third opinion from an uninvolved editor. – Scyrme (talk/solidarity) 16:54, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

WP:BLAR has some guidance. Gråbergs Gråa Sång (talk) 16:56, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Yeah, okay sure I'll do that. I'll revert back the changes, but I'd be cautious on sending it to draft yet, I think it's best the users discuss their views in the talk page, while the article is live, until of course they come up with strong rationale that it should be sent to draft based on policy violations, I did open the talk on such a possibility when I first made the article. So I'll ping them in the talk and ask for their opinions. Thanks overall. Omniking2100 (talk) 17:04, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

@Omniking2100: I suggested making it a draft as it's less likely to create a situation where you're going back and forth with reverts. Otherwise, they may revert your restoration of the article with the same rationale stated for removing the content in the first place and insist that you should justify your content before it's restored to the live page, which is more difficult if the title has been reverted back to being a redirect preventing you from gradually working to improve the content in parallel with the discussion.

That said, they may *not* revert the restoration if they see you're willing to work on improving the live article. Depends on their response. I just suspect you'd have more room to breath if you kept it in draft space temporarily while the dispute was ongoing. – Scyrme (talk/solidarity) 17:23, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

yeah okay I see your point it takes long time to revert I wouldn't Wanna do that again. Omniking2100 (talk) 17:26, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

@Omniking2100: For future reference, you don't have to do multiple successive undos to get back to an old revision. You can just click on the date of a revision in the history to view the old version of the page and click "edit" as though you were editing the current version. It'll open the old revision in your editor, which you can then publish to overwrite the current revision with the older revision you opened. Too late to be useful to you now, but maybe it'll be helpful later. – Scyrme (talk/solidarity) 17:38, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

thanks I've definitely noted that. Omniking2100 (talk) 17:46, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

The pont is, indeed, that most of the content is just descriptions of views of Gandhi, not descriptions by WP:OR which describe responses to these views which constitute "controversies". Joshua Jonathan - Let's talk! 21:56, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Editing a person's Wikipedia page

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 5 comments | 2 people in discussion

- **Courtesy link:** Marisa Pavan

I'm trying to edit Marisa Pavan's page to include a show that she was a guest star in in 1979. To add my data, I followed the examples of the other titles that she was in exactly as they were input. When I published my changes, the title of the show was displayed in red, and when clicked on, I was not taken to that show's Wikipedia page, but a page to start a new Wikipedia page. No matter what I changed, the title of the show still displayed in red, not blue.

Here is they way the entry just ahead of where I was adding Ms. Pavan's data was typed: Wonder Woman (TV series)|Wonder Woman "Formula 407" (TV, 1977).

I removed the asterisk and brackets because the preview was showing the same results as my edits, and I didn't know if you would see them as I typed them or as a link like they were in the preview. It would have been as follows if had left them on: * "[[ ]]". I typed quotation marks instead of two apostrophes because once again, the preview was showing figures other than what I typed.

Leaving off the asterisk and brackets again, this is how I typed my edit: The Rockford Files (TV series)|The Rockford Files]] "With the French Heel Back, Can the Nehru Jacket Be Far Behind" (TV, 1979)

I finally figured it out after one final attempt before I deleted my edit completely. I had to remove (TV series)|The Rockford Files in order for The Rockford Files link to display in blue so it would take me to the corresponding page.

This is not the first time I've had to deviate from the norm when editing a person's info regarding their appearance on The Rockford Files, so is their any thoughts as to why this is occurring? Autotech659 (talk) 18:22, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

> @Autotech659: Am I understanding right that you're asking why The Rockford Files doesn't include "(TV series)" like the preceding link to Wonder Woman (TV series), though both are TV series?
>
> If so, it's because "(TV series)" is a parenthetical dismabiguator. It only exists as part of the title of Wonder Woman (TV series) because "Wonder Woman" by itself is ambiguous with other articles which share the title "Wonder Woman". To distinguish that article about the TV series from articles about other topics (listed at Wonder Woman (disambiguation)) the text "(TV series)" has been added to the title. Different articles on Wikipedia cannot share the exact same title, so each topic which could have the title "Wonder Woman" must be disambiguated in some way (see WP:QUALIFIER). In the case of Wonder Woman, an exception is made for character as that topic is the primary topic, meaning it's the topic the majority of readers would be looking for; when there is a clear primary topic, Wikipedia allows the article for that topic to occupy the basic title without additional disambiguation for simplicity. However, ambiguous titles for all articles for non-primary topics must be disambiguated.
>
> In the case of The Rockford Files, there are no other topics with articles that share the title "The Rockford Files" so no additional disambiguation is needed. The title is *not* ambiguous. In general, Wikipedia avoids titles with unnecessary disambiguation and overly precise titles (see WP:OVERPRECISION). – Scyrme (talk/solidarity) 18:47, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > **tl;dr** - "(TV series)" is only included for Wonder Woman (TV series) because "Wonder Woman" is an ambiguous title. "(TV series)" is not a standard part of all titles of articles about TV series. Including it is unnecessary when the title isn't ambiguous. – Scyrme (talk/solidarity) 18:53, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > Thank you for your explanation, and although I only used Wonder Woman as an example, there were other TV series that I based my editing off of. After reading your explanation and looking at those series edits again, I see that in the case of Hawaii Five-O, it stated 1968 TV series, because their is a more recent version of the show as well as a movie. The other example I saw was The F.B.I., and although the new CBS show does not include "The" as well as the periods in FBI, I imagine it would cause confusion for viewers that don't know there was an FBI show before the newer CBS show.
> > >
> > > Thanks again for the explanation. Autotech659 (talk) 20:42, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > Additionally, for future reference, you can force Wikipedia to render exactly what you type without interpreting it as wikimarkup formatting by wrapping the text in `<nowiki>...</nowiki>`. For example. `<nowiki>* ''[[The Rockford Files]]''</nowiki>` appears as: * ''[[The Rockford Files]]''. – Scyrme (talk/solidarity) 19:08, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Changing curly quotes to straight quotes

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 6 comments | 3 people in discussion

How can I easily change all the curly quotes (both double and single) in an article to straight quotes? Jc3s5h (talk) 21:48, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

> Set out to edit the article, but instead cut all of its "source" (without saving the blank result). Paste this into a window of a decent text editor (I use Geany). Use the editor's search-and-replace function, four times. Copy the result. Paste it to the article. Save. -- Hoary (talk)WWU 22:09, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Thanks. I was hoping for something easier. I ended up using Visual Studio as the editor. Jc3s5h (talk) 23:36, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

@Jc3s5h, @Hoary The source editor has a perfectly functional search-and-replace. I went back to the version of Electoral fraud in the United States before the recent changes and opted to "Edit source" in the usual way on that version. There is an icon two to the left of the "Preview" on the top line of the editor which opens the find-and-replace dialog, or you can use `Ctrl-F` as in most text editors. Then fill out the "find" and "replace" and off you go.... Mike Turnbull (talk) 14:44, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

I tried the regex editor available with the three vertical dots icon, but had trouble entering the curly quote in the Search field. In general, I find each editing environment acts in unpredictable ways when trying to work with similar characters, some of which do not appear on the keyboard. My first try was Emacs, but recent "improvements" to Windows now treat that as malware and it's unusable. That's when I went to Visual Editor. At least I can use whatever I learned outside of the Wikipedia environment. Jc3s5h (talk) 15:22, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

I found the curly quote in the article as I read it and copy/pasted it into the search box. Mike Turnbull (talk) 16:59, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Donald Bligh

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 5 comments | 4 people in discussion

Your description of his early life is remarkably detailed. I look forward to his later life ~2026-46762-80 (talk) 22:01, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

Hi @~2026-46762-80,

I can't find any article about a "Donald Bligh". Perhaps the article you are referring to is listed at Bligh (disambiguation); possibly on another language Wikipedia; or instead on one of the many Wikipedia lookalikes.

Anyhow, do you have a question related to Wikipedia editing? If not, we won't be able to help you here. Giovanni Guasconti (a word with me) 04:02, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

I suspect, based on your question, that you found one of our over 7.2 million articles and thought we were affiliated in some way with that subject. Please note that you are at Wikipedia, the free online encyclopedia that anyone can edit, and this page is for asking questions related to using or contributing to Wikipedia itself. Thus, we have no special knowledge about the subject of your question. You can, however, search our vast catalogue of articles by typing a subject into the search field on the upper right side of your screen. If you cannot find what you are looking for, we have a reference desk, divided into various subject areas, where asking knowledge questions is welcome. Best of luck. PrimeHunter (talk) 12:06, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Possibly a reference to Earl of Darnley#Ivo Bligh, 12th Earl of Darnley (1968–). Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 12:30, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

If you think something is missing from a Wikipedia article, you can add it. Don't forget to include a citation to a reliable source. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 12:33, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Adding image to existing infobox template, minor edit?

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 3 comments | 3 people in discussion

Hello,

When adding an image to an article via a pre-existing Template: Infobox person, is this considered a Minor edit?

Thank you,

MapleTrail92 (talk) 22:19, 28 August 2026 (UTC)

> Hi MapleTrail92, a minor edit "could never be the subject of a dispute" and editors can disagree about images. Also, adding an image is adding content, which is listed in "What not to mark as minor changes". TSventon (talk) 22:49, 28 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> I've thought of a couple of proposals to word that thing more accurately and less ambiguously. Each time, I've found that my new idea would be better in *almost* every case - but I can also see that some small percentage of the time they could cause real misunderstandings and heated arguments. The current wording is ambiguous and inaccurate, but benignly so; I don't see arguments happening about it. So I kept my proposals to myself. TooManyFingers (*he/him* · talk) 04:24, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Who can fix a mistake in a special Wikipedia page?

Latest comment: 15 hours ago | 3 comments | 2 people in discussion

The filter log page says:

“[Entries..] are not necessarily an indication of wrongdoing **on behalf of** the user” (emphasis mine).

What I believe is meant: “[Entries..] are not necessarily an indication of wrongdoing **on the part of** the user”.

AAC3 (talk) 06:20, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

> @Acitrano: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Special:AbuseLog?uselang=qqx says "(abusefilter-log-summary)" where the text is. That means the text can be edited by an administrator in MediaWiki:Abusefilter-log-summary. I have changed it to the simpler "by the user".[4] PrimeHunter (talk) 12:03, 29 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Thank you, yours is even better! AAC3 (talk) 02:44, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

I regularly donate to wikipedia

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 3 comments | 3 people in discussion

i regularly donate to wikipedia, using my desktop computer. i deliberately do not use my phone for any financial transaction for security reasons. so i get nagged frequently about donations, "already donated" has no perceivable effect, and it is really annoying me. how do i convince the bot that it's far more likely to lose my support than raise extra money?

Donation nag annoying ~2026-36910-25 (talk) 13:36, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

> @~2026-36910-25: If you create an account then you can hide fundraising banners at Special:Preferences#mw-prefsection-centralnotice-banners. It's free and easy to make an account, you don't have to give an email address or any information, and you can remain logged in for up to a year in a browser. PrimeHunter (talk) 14:05, 29 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> There is no way for *this* site to know that someone using a particular IP (which may well be dynamic anyway) has made a donation via a *different* site, namely the Wikimedia Foundation, which is how all donations "to Wikipedia" are handled.
>
> To avoid the possibility or even appearance of donations affecting editorial decisions, all information about donations at the Wikimedia Foundation is deliberately sealed off from Wikipedia, so even a Wikipedia account has no record of whether or not its holder has donated: a cookie can be placed on it *by the account holder* to say so, as PrimeHunter explains above, but that is not possible for TP users like you (and me).
>
> Seeing a donations banner is essentially no different from seeing a charity ad on the side of a bus or a street advertising hoarding for a charity you have already donated to: do you find *that* annoying?

As a 20-plus-year IP/TP user, I have seen the banners for as long as they've been appearing, every time they're posted. One (sometimes two) clicks and they're gone: They don't annoy me, or most other people. {The poster formerly known as 87.81.230.195} ~2026-43920-01 (talk) 16:37, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Sigfig combined with UN population template

Latest comment: 1 day ago | 1 comment | 1 person in discussion

The total population figure given in the infobox of Asia just struck me as absurdly precise, and I tried {{Significant figures}} on it. However, the result was in scientific notation, unexpectedly. Any idea why that is? What format does {{UN population}} output its figures in? --Florian Blaschke (talk) 17:35, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

Problem with sections fusing together

Latest comment: 20 hours ago | 5 comments | 3 people in discussion

When I ad content here: https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Israeli_invasion_of_Syria_%282024%E2%80%93present%29&diff=1371985812&oldid=1371675077

The "airstrikes" headline right below is removed and the 2024 airstrikes section is fused together with the 2026 Ground invasion section, see here: https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Israeli_invasion_of_Syria_(2024%E2%80%93present)&oldid=1371985812#2026

I tried to fix it several times but I have no idea how this keeps on happening. Supreme Deliciousness (talk) 18:54, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

> Try writing <ref name="h207" /> instead of <ref name="h207">. --Florian Blaschke (talk) 19:42, 29 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > It didn't mess up the section but the source is not presented accurately: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Israeli_invasion_of_Syria_(2024%E2%80%93present)#2026 --Supreme Deliciousness (talk) 20:49, 29 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > @Supreme Deliciousness: The slash at the end is only when the ref is reused without a closing </ref> from the definition. Fixed by [5]. In general, tags look like <tagname>...</tagname> while a selfclosing tag looks like <tagname />. PrimeHunter (talk) 21:12, 29 August 2026 (UTC)
> > >
> > > I forgot to specify that I meant the *second* (stand-alone) occurrence only; I clearly overestimated the asker's knowledge of wikitext. My bad. --Florian Blaschke (talk) 21:39, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

How do you find/Locating family from Schwaebisch Gmund

Latest comment: 14 hours ago | 3 comments | 3 people in discussion

I was born in Schwaebisch Gmund in a Catholic Hospital and my biological mother is from there, as well as, my grandparents and half brother. Plus, other family members. I am trying to find out how I can locate them. Also, how do I receive my birth certificate. I am in the U.S. ~2026-46937-58 (talk) 20:00, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

> Hi TA editor, this helpdesk is for questions about Wikipedia, we can't give general advice. TSventon (talk) 20:07, 29 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> This is definitely not a question for the help desk. Perhaps German civil registry offices may be able to help you. Thanks! Giovanni Guasconti (a word with me) 04:06, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

How to locate and Finden Sie Familien in Schwäbisch Gmünd

Latest comment: 16 hours ago | 3 comments | 3 people in discussion

Note: – This is the German version of the question above. —Tenryuu (•) 01:52, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Ich wurde in einem katholischen Krankenhaus in Schwäbisch Gmünd geboren; meine leibliche Mutter stammt von dort, ebenso wie meine Großeltern, mein Halbbruder und weitere Familienangehörige. Ich versuche herauszufinden, wie ich sie ausfindig machen kann. Außerdem möchte ich wissen, wie ich meine Geburtsurkunde erhalten kann. Ich befinde mich derzeit in den USA. ~2026-46937-58 (talk) 20:17, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

> Das ist Wikipedia, kein allgemeiner Ratgeber. Wir können deine Frage nicht beantworten. qcne (talk) 20:19, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

SimpleCookingChannel

Latest comment: 7 hours ago | 6 comments | 3 people in discussion

Jason Pinder is this SimpleCookingChannel guy from Australia that makes really good homemade recipes at home, so please make an article about him please? 2025fanatic (talk) 22:33, 29 August 2026 (UTC)

> See Wikipedia:notability. From a quick Google search, I have my doubts that enough has been been written about Pinder in independent published reliable sources to justify an article. We have Wikipedia:Requested articles, but adding to the list is no guarantee that an article will be created - we are volunteers here, and tend to work on what interests us. Alternately, you could consider trying to create the article yourself (see Help:Your first article, but successfully creating articles is tricky, and not recommended for inexperienced contributors.
>
> Note that people suggesting new articles sometimes get contacted by individuals offering to do it for payment - this is invariably a scam. AndyTheGrump (talk) 22:47, 29 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > No, please do it anyways? 2025fanatic (talk) 23:05, 29 August 2026 (UTC)
> >
> > > You seem not to have read what I wrote. We do not create articles to order. AndyTheGrump (talk) 23:29, 29 August 2026 (UTC)
> > >
> > > @2025fanatic, I second what @Andy said. An article **cannot** be created unless the subject strictly meets the Notability policy. Unless you can provide **at least 3 reliable sources**, any articles that are created will be rejected. My Google searches and brief research with a large language model do not surface enough of such sources.
> > >
> > > Separately, even if you can provide the necessary reliable sources, Wikipedia is volunteer-run and there is no guarantee that an article will be written. The best possible way to ensure an article is created is to write it yourself and submit it to Articles for Creation - as long as the subject is notable (cf. above). Writing about a non-notable subject is simply a waste of your time and that of the AfC reviewers who would reject it. GIOVANNI GUASCONTI (a word with me) 04:02, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
> > >
> > > > Ok! 2025fanatic (talk) 11:06, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

---

help with updating my wikipedia page

Latest comment: 16 hours ago | 5 comments | 4 people in discussion

if I provide my cv can my page be updated? Thanks Richiejgrant (talk) 00:26, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Hi Richiejgrant. Could you please clarify what you mean by my wikipedia page? Individuals don't have their "own" Wikipedia page per se. It's possible for a Wikipedia WP:ARTICLE to be written about someone who meets Wikipedia's WP:NOTABILITY guidelines, and it's also possible for an individual user to create a Wikipedia WP:USERPAGE; these, however, are two completely different things and are subject to different policies and guidelines. Just going by your choice of username, there are two people named Richie Grant that have Wikipedia articles written about them. Are you one of those people and asking how to update the Wikipedia article written about you? -- Marchjuly (talk) 00:35, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

> yes - i am one of those people and hoping to update the written article Richiejgrant (talk) 00:48, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Wikipedia is not for posting your CV to the article about you. You may, however, use the edit request process to propose changes or corrections to the article about you. Additions should usually be supported by independent reliable sources, as the main purpose of a Wikipedia article is to summarize what independent sources say about the topic, not what it says about itself. Please see the autobiography policy 331dot (talk) 00:36, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Richiejgrant, Wikipedia articles use sources like journalism. Anything designed to promote you, including a cv, is probably not going to be used. If there are significant omissions on the article about you, you can point out what needs to be added and find news articles or other credible independent sources that confirm the information. **Thebiguglyalien** (talk) 01:43, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Is English Wikipedia has a own version of Direito a voto

Latest comment: 5 hours ago | 5 comments | 5 people in discussion

For people who don't know what this is. Portuguese Wikipedia has their own policy of Right to Vote. see -> pt:Wikipédia:Direito a voto

So.. there's a English Wikipedia's version of this policy? **Vitor**Friboquen :] (Talk) 01:07, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

> @Vitorperrut555: I don't know Portuguese or the Portuguese Wikipedia but each Wikipedia language makes its own policies and practices, and I guess they use voting for many things. We don't. We generally work by consensus in discussions with arguments and don't determine consensus by counting editor opinions. Most people can participate in most discussions, also unregistered users. You could say we have a right to discuss, but not a Right to Vote since there is no voting except in a few Wikipedia:Elections. PrimeHunter (talk) 01:30, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > There's a very long-entrenched position here that WP:Voting is evil. There are several specific places where we *do* have rules about who can participate (for example, WP:Administrator elections#Voter eligibility), but - except for bots and sockpuppets being excluded everywhere - they all have their own requirements, unlike ptwiki's site-wide policy. —Cryptic 01:35, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> We all know there are dictators who let their citizens put marks on ballots but who prohibit real debate. (That was about real dictators of real countries, not about anyone else.) English Wikipedia really really wants to avoid the possibility of dictators, and therefore - not always - superstitiously does exactly the opposite: real debating only, no ballots allowed. :)
>
> That may lead to: "Then how do you get anything done?" "Good point." :) TooManyFingers (*he/him* · talk) 03:25, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> You may be looking for Wikipedia:Voting. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 12:21, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Publishing page

Latest comment: 6 hours ago | 3 comments | 3 people in discussion

Hello

My page hasnt published. Can you help? Kamalimelbourne (talk) 03:38, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

> It seems as if you've misunderstood what Wikipedia is for and how it works. You're a journalist - would you permit a well-known politician to really be the host of your show, with the power to make unilateral programming decisions, for two weeks? Similarly, the subjects of Wikipedia articles intentionally do not get any real opportunity to speak for themselves. The important material in a Wikipedia article can only be what's already published about them in reliable third-party sources, and can't be what they say about themselves. (And this includes article subjects not being permitted to control the "agenda" by deciding which aspects of themselves to emphasise, even if they do show third-party proof of something.) TooManyFingers (*he/him* · talk) 03:55, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> You apparently refer to the content of your user page, from which you autobiography has been removed. Please see WP:USERPAGENOT. For future reference, also WP:AUTOBIOGRAPHY and WP:About you. Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 11:58, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

"Biblical Authority" missing text following "Variou"

Latest comment: 11 hours ago | 3 comments | 3 people in discussion

I do not know if it is some special formatting in WP but in article "Biblical Authority" White space follows: "Variou" found when doing a search on variant spellings of various. It might just be a saving when there was a slip on the keyboard zapping text that had been there and not realized now missing. Can someone look it over to deal with it? ~2026-47100-73 (talk) 05:01, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

> The person who appears to have added that, only a month or so ago, was @Beland. It's probably better to alert them, which I just now did. TooManyFingers (*he/him* · talk) 05:35, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Thanks for catching that and for the ping! I must have saved the section without realizing I was still in the middle of a rewrite. I have completed the intended rewrite. -- Beland (talk) 07:02, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Various = Laurence W. Moreland, Robert P. Steed, Tod A. Baker

Latest comment: 9 hours ago | 3 comments | 2 people in discussion

Article "Newspaper endorsements in the 1992 United States presidential election" uses for author "Various" to represent: Laurence W. Moreland, Robert P. Steed, Tod A. Baker. I am unfamiliar with the coding style used so cannot change it to more fully represent the authors. Originally came upon it because of the spelling "Varios".~2026-47100-73 (talk) 05:25, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

> I'll take a look for you. scope_creep Talk 08:32, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> @~2026-47100-73: Generally you put in something like this, which are attribute/value pairs, separated by a vertical bar. So if it's 3 names it becomes, |last1=| first1= |last2= |first2= |last3= |first3 and so on. You generally put the surname first. Its pretty easy once you get a handle on it. I've updated it. It was a bit sloppy the way it was done, but fixed now. scope_creep Talk 08:38, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Key cilent cheats

Latest comment: 7 hours ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

Helpp Aldonau (talk) 07:49, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

> @Aldonau Does this (https://2b2t.miraheze.org/wiki/Cheat_Clients) helpp? In future please try using a search engine. Shantavira|feed me 10:20, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Using the ancestry site

Latest comment: 1 hour ago | 6 comments | 3 people in discussion

*The following discussion is closed. **Please do not modify it.** Subsequent comments should be made on the appropriate discussion page. No further edits should be made to this discussion.*

OP wants it closed. -- Hoary (talk)[WWU] 11:05, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Morning folks!! For the first time I would like to use the ancestry site, to list some children of William Dixon (1753–1824) with dates of births, deaths with I'm assuming will be WP:PRIMARY ref. So having never used it before, and don't have access to it, I have some questions. Is it used at all in any fashion? I've read the WP:ANCESTRY entry. Is it accessible via the Wikipedia library? The page I'm accessing is at [6]. Is it reliable as a primary ref? What would the reference, as I can't see any particular document its referencing, so don't know what the reference. In essence I don't have clue about it since I've been avoiding it like the plague since I got here. The reason I want to list them, is they were dynastic family of industrialists in Scotland for almost 200 years. Any help is appreciated. scope_creep[Talk] 08:29, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Its covered by WP:UGC. Close this. scope_creep[Talk] 10:50, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

*The discussion above is closed. **Please do not modify it.** Subsequent comments should be made on the appropriate discussion page. No further edits should be made to this discussion.*

@Scope creep: a belated response to this. Ancestry is available via the Wikipedia Library. It contains user generated content which can't be used as a source, but also documents such as census returns and sometimes newspaper obituaries. I looked for William Dixon (1753–1824) and didn't find any original documents. TSventon (talk) 15:47, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

@TSventon: Thanks for doing that. It's really appreciated. I couldn't find it in the library. I was trying to find a document that I could ref that had details of the children, but no joy. I ended up just listing the fact he had 7 kids. scope_creep[Talk] 15:54, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

When I open TWL I get a page called "My Collections" for resources anyone can access and ancestry is listed in alphabetical order near the beginning. I think that ancestry becomes more useful for British people from 1841 as detailed censuses were produced. There is also an element of luck. TSventon (talk) 16:10, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Wrongly created a user

Latest comment: 6 hours ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

Hi! As I was moving a draft article (:Draft:Dünya Yenihayat) to the (article) namespace I wrongly created a User:Dünya Yenihayat, which needs to be deleted by an admin. The correct move has been done already. Sorry for the issue, and thanks for help. CeeGee 11:27, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Have U2ed. qcne (talk) 11:28, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Talk page formatting help

Latest comment: 19 minutes ago | 3 comments | 2 people in discussion

Hi all, I'm not sure what on earth is going on on Talk:Ibn Hazm, but there is strange indentation about halfway down this topic list, starting with Talk:Ibn Hazm#why, which makes it look like all subsequent topics are subsumed under the larger heading above it. Can someone assist with making it look normal? I can't work out what's going on from looking at the Wikitext on the Source editor. I tried to set up Archiving in the hope the archiving would just sweep this all up, but I'm not sure that's worked either as the ArchiveBOT hasn't done anything yet. Yr Enw (talk) 16:34, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

> An unclosed `<blockquote>` - from 2008! Andy Mabbett (Pigsonthewing); Talk to Andy; Andy's edits; 16:42, 30 August 2026 (UTC)
>
> > Thanks so much. What a mess that was. Yr Enw (talk) 17:50, 30 August 2026 (UTC)

Retrieved from "https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Wikipedia:Help_desk&oldid=1372164399"